









Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2022 with funding from  
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/31761114664253>









Ontario

No. 77

Government  
Publications

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 8TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### THIRD READINGS

1. Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.\* *Mr. Rhodes.*
2. Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*
3. Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.



## GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

5. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
6. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of Bill 59*, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman (Durham-York).*\* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
15. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
16. *Second Reading* Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
17. *Second Reading* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
18. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
19. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of Bill 149*, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.



20. *Second Reading* Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
21. *Second Reading* Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
22. *Second Reading* Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
23. *Second Reading* Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
24. *Second Reading* Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
25. *Second Reading* Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
26. *Second Reading* Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
27. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
28. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Final Report of the Select Committee inquiring into Hydro's proposed bulk power rates.
29. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.

---

#### PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS

30. *Second Reading* Bill 19, An Act to amend The Ontario Lottery Corporation Act, 1974. *Mr. Burr.* **PRINTED.**
31. *Second Reading* Bill 21, An Act to amend The School Boards and Teachers Collective Negotiations Act, 1975. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
32. *Second Reading* Bill 24, An Act relating to the Installation of Automatic Fire Extinguishing Systems in Buildings. *Mr. Deans.* **PRINTED.**
33. *Second Reading* Bill 28, An Act to amend The Education Act, 1974. *Mr. Grande.* **PRINTED.**
34. *Second Reading* Bill 29, An Act to establish The Automobile Insurance Rate Control Board. *Mr. Swart.* **PRINTED.**

35. *Second Reading* Bill 30, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
36. *Second Reading* Bill 31, An Act to relieve Persons from Liability in  
respect of voluntary Emergency Medical and First Aid Services.  
*Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
37. *Second Reading* Bill 32, An Act to provide for the Establishment of  
Safety Committees. *Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
38. *Second Reading* Bill 35, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. Bull-*  
*brook.* **PRINTED.**
39. *Second Reading* Bill 36, An Act to establish a Medical Data Bank.  
*Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
40. *Second Reading* Bill 40, An Act to amend The Athletics Control Act.  
*Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
41. *Second Reading* Bill 42, An Act to amend The Election Finances  
Reform Act, 1975. *Mr. Johnson* (Wellington-Dufferin-Peel). **PRINTED.**
42. *Second Reading* Bill 49, An Act to amend The Health Insurance  
Act, 1972. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
43. *Second Reading* Bill 50, An Act to amend The Religious Institutions  
Act. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
44. *Second Reading* Bill 53, An Act to provide Assistance to Elderly  
Tenants. *Mr. Renwick.* **PRINTED.**
45. *Second Reading* Bill 57, An Act to amend The Condominium Act.  
*Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
46. *Second Reading* Bill 58, An Act to amend The Condominium Act.  
*Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
47. *Second Reading* Bill 61, An Act to amend The Consumer Reporting Act,  
1973. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
48. *Second Reading* Bill 63, An Act to provide for Individualized Price  
Marking on Products. *Mr. MacDonald.* **PRINTED.**
49. *Second Reading* Bill 65, An Act to provide for the Appointment of a  
Labour Ombudsman. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
50. *Second Reading* Bill 66, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights  
Code. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
51. *Second Reading* Bill 67, An Act to establish The Ontario Bill of  
Rights. *Mr. Roy.* **PRINTED.**



52. *Second Reading* Bill 69, An Act to amend The Municipal Elections Act.  
*Mr. Samis. PRINTED.*
53. *Second Reading* Bill 70, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act.  
*Mr. Evans. PRINTED.*
54. *Second Reading* Bill 71, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*
55. *Second Reading* Bill 72, An Act to amend The Legislative Assembly Act.  
*Mr. Williams. PRINTED.*
56. *Second Reading* Bill 74, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Driver Training Schools. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River). PRINTED.*
57. *Second Reading* Bill 79, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Bounsall. PRINTED.*
58. *Second Reading* Bill 80, An Act to amend The Child Welfare Act.  
*Mr. Leluk. PRINTED.*
59. *Second Reading* Bill 83, An Act to amend The Family Benefits Act.  
*Mr. Martel. PRINTED.*
60. *Second Reading* Bill 86, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972.  
*Mr. Ziembra. PRINTED.*
61. *Second Reading* Bill 88, An Act to amend The Mental Health Act, 1974. *Mr. Smith (Simcoe East). PRINTED.*
62. *Second Reading* Bill 92, An Act for the Promotion and Protection of the Health and Safety of Persons engaged in Occupations. *Mr. Martel. PRINTED.*
63. *Second Reading* Bill 93, An Act to prohibit the Sale of Handcuffs.  
*Mr. Grossman. PRINTED.*
64. *Second Reading* Bill 95, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Durham Act, 1973. *Mr. Godfrey. PRINTED.*
65. *Second Reading* Bill 103, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Bounsall. PRINTED.*
66. *Second Reading* Bill 107, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bounsall. PRINTED.*
67. *Second Reading* Bill 111, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*

68. *Second Reading* Bill 112, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED*
69. *Second Reading* Bill 113, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED*
70. *Second Reading* Bill 114, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED*
71. *Second Reading* Bill 115, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED*
72. *Second Reading* Bill 116, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED*
73. *Second Reading* Bill 117, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED*
74. *Second Reading* Bill 118, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED*
75. *Second Reading* Bill 119, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED*
76. *Second Reading* Bill 120, An Act to amend The Retail Business Holidays Act, 1975 (2nd Session). *Mr. Shore. PRINTED*
77. *Second Reading* Bill 121, An Act to control Professional Fund-raising Corporations. *Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville). PRINTED*
78. *Second Reading* Bill 124, An Act to amend The Public Officers Act.  
*Mr. Martel. PRINTED*
79. *Second Reading* Bill 125, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville). PRINTED.*
80. *Second Reading* Bill 126, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Smoking in Public Places. *Mr. Cassidy. PRINTED.*
81. *Second Reading* Bill 128, An Act respecting Special Educational Programs.  
*Mr. Foulds. PRINTED.*
82. *Second Reading* Bill 129, An Act to provide Political Rights for Public Servants. *Mr. Cassidy. PRINTED.*
83. *Second Reading* Bill 142, An Act to amend The Hospital Labour Disputes Arbitration Act. *Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
84. *Second Reading* Bill 143, An Act respecting Toxic and Hazardous Substances. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*
85. *Second Reading* Bill 144, An Act respecting the Collection of Occupational Health Data. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*



86. *Second Reading* Bill 145, An Act respecting the Ensuring of Rights of Employees to refuse to Perform Work that is Dangerous to their Health or Safety. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  87. *Second Reading* Bill 146, An Act respecting Health and Safety Committees. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  88. *Second Reading* Bill 147, An Act respecting the Establishment of Worker-Inspectors in the Work Place. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  89. *Second Reading* Bill 148, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Breithaupt.* **PRINTED.**
  90. *Second Reading* Bill 157, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. Cunningham.* **PRINTED.**
  91. *Second Reading* Bill 158, The Uniform Time Act, 1976. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
  92. *Second Reading* Bill 159, An Act respecting the Advertising and Selling of Perishable Staples. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
  93. *Second Reading* Bill 160, An Act to establish the Ontario Waste Disposal and Reclamation Commission. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICES OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
8. *Mr. Timbrell*—Motion—That the Order for adoption of the Final Report of the Select Committee inquiring into Hydro’s proposed bulk power rates be discharged but that the House adopt the following Resolution in lieu thereof:—“That the Legislature adopt the policy direction of the Select Committee’s report and the modifications as proposed by the Government to specific recommendations as follows”: III-7. (re bulk metering) That, the Legislature endorse the study of bulk metering practices now being conducted by Ontario Hydro and the municipal utilities to be completed within 12 months, and that, recommendations from Ontario Hydro be considered by the Government at that time; and that, in the interim, the Legislature further endorse the moratorium on conversions to or from bulk metering which has been initiated by the municipal utilities. III-11. (re revolving capital pool for industry) That, pending study by the Government as to the financial implications and statutory requirements of a revolving capital pool for industry, and as to whether this would be the best technique to encourage energy conservation by industry, a decision on this recommendation be deferred. III-18. (re peak reduction targets) That, the Government make an interim report to the Legislature within 2 months on the specific peak demand reduction targets which are to be met by Ontario Hydro for each year over the next 10 years, and the changes, Legislative and otherwise, which must be made to permit a workable load management program. III-23. That pending the development of, and experience with, Hydro’s load management program, Ontario Hydro not further reduce its targets for adding generation capacity in the next 10 years. III-24. That, pending the development of, and experience with, Hydro’s load management program, Ontario Hydro not develop a new generation plan which would reduce its planned growth in its generation capacity. III-27. (re James Bay) That, the Government request Ontario Hydro to continue its investigations of the potential which might exist for Ontario from developments of the hydro-electric potential in the Baie James area of Quebec; and that, in view of public hearings being held by the Royal Commission on Electric Power Planning and their intent to make recommendations to the Government on hydro-electric resource development in the Province, the Government advise Ontario Hydro that there will be no development of the Albany River at this time. III-28. (re examination of nuclear commitment) That, the Legislature endorse the review of nuclear power being conducted by the Royal Commission on Electric Power Planning. III-29 (iii) (re monitoring Select Committee)



That, in order to permit sufficient time to implement the recommendations approved by the Legislature, consideration of a monitoring Select Committee be deferred for 1 year. IV-3. That, considering water power is an energy resource in the same vein as coal, uranium, oil and natural gas, Ontario Hydro not be exempt from water power rentals.

---

## PRIVATE MEMBERS' NOTICES OF MOTION

1. *Withdrawn.*
8. *Mr. Burr*—Resolution—That, in the opinion of this House, the government should provide funds, similar to those that have already been granted for snowmobiling trails and maintenance, to municipalities or the Ontario Trails Council to carry out similar projects for bicycling.
10. *Mr. Foulds*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, Private Members' Public Bills and Private Members' Resolutions, after having been called for Second Reading, must be brought to a vote after debate on Second Reading, and disposed of in the same way as Government Bills.
11. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Province of Ontario should provide and erect historical markers for the birthplaces of all Lieutenant-Governors and Premiers of Ontario.
13. *Mr. Leluk*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House a Select Committee of the Legislature be appointed to examine the Condominium Act, condominium law in other jurisdictions, mortgage financing of condominium projects, trends in industrial and commercial use of condominiums, and any other pertinent condominium matters with a view to improving condominium lifestyles, to prepare and submit a report to the Legislature by the end of March, 1977 with its recommendations.
14. *Mr. Davison* (Hamilton Centre)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Government should introduce legislation to provide rent control for small business commercial and industrial premises.
15. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—Resolution—That a Select Committee of the Legislature be appointed to examine the forest industry in Ontario with particular regard to the tract of land in northwestern Ontario being discussed with the Reed Paper Company, taking into account the impact upon native peoples, the environmental impact, reforestation problems, the economic effect of the decision generally, and the present system of timber licenses and the procedure for the awarding of such licences in the Province of Ontario; and that the Select Committee have authority to sit during recesses and the interval between sessions, and to travel throughout the Province to hold hearings as it deems necessary, and have full power and authority to employ counsel and

other such personnel as may be deemed advisable and to hold meetings and hearings in such places as the Committee may deem advisable and to call for persons, papers and things and to examine witnesses under oath, and the Assembly doth command and compel attendance before the said Select Committee of such persons and the production of such papers and things as the Committee may deem necessary for any of its proceedings and deliberations, for which the Honourable the Speaker may issue his warrant or warrants.

16. *Ms. Gigantes*—Resolution—That in the opinion of the House, the government should move to relieve the double tax that condominium property owners now bear by giving condominiums the power to negotiate tax cuts or rebates with their municipality, to compensate for services like garbage removal, snow clearance, which they provide for themselves and until tax reform is in place, the province should bear the cost of these rebates.

---

### PRIVATE MEMBERS' NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.

---

### QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours



to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.

37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*

38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.

41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.

43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles

were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.

60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Could the Ministry list the number of recommendations of each Select Committee established during the 29th Legislature that have been adopted as government policy, the number that have been rejected outright, and the number that are still under active consideration. Could the Ministry then list those recommendations that have been adopted and give brief reasons for the adoption.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.



96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.
97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it

has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.

II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes.
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetic mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000



to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Mr. Bullbrook*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry of Culture and Recreation supply a list of all Wintario grants, capital and operating, awarded since the beginning of the program, listed by constituency, and indicating the location and sponsor of the project and the amount of the grant.
142. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Treasurer advise,  
(a) What has been the total amount of machinery sales for each 6 month period following the elimination of sales tax in the spring of 1975,  
(b) What was the level of sales for each 6 month period for the previous two years.
143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which

can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations.”. Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the “cause” of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of “cause” under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent “reasonable” access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.

151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.
152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original



growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being "acceptable". (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being "safe". (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the "fail-safe" system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

**RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

**COMMITTEE NOTICES**

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of the Attorney General, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Labour, and thereafter as required.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, November 10th at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—16.

---











CA20N

X 4

-0 82



No. 78

Government  
Publications

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 9TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### THIRD READINGS

1. Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.\* *Mr. Rhodes.*
2. Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*
3. Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

## GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

5. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
6. *Committee of the Whole House:*
  - Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**
  - Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**
  - Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
  - Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
  - Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
  - Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of Bill 59,* An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.*  
**PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman (Durham-York).\** **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

15. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
  16. *Second Reading* Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson*. **PRINTED.**
  17. *Second Reading* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
  18. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
  19. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
  20. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Final Report of the Select Committee inquiring into Hydro's proposed bulk power rates.
  21. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-



# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICES OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
8. *Mr. Timbrell*—Motion—That the Order for adoption of the Final Report of the Select Committee inquiring into Hydro’s proposed bulk power rates be discharged but that the House adopt the following Resolution in lieu thereof:—“That the Legislature adopt the policy direction of the Select Committee’s report and the modifications as proposed by the Government to specific recommendations as follows”: III-7. (re bulk metering) That, the Legislature endorse the study of bulk metering practices now being conducted by Ontario Hydro and the municipal utilities to be completed within 12 months, and that, recommendations from Ontario Hydro be considered by the Government at that time; and that, in the interim, the Legislature further endorse the moratorium on conversions to or from bulk metering which has been initiated by the municipal utilities. III-11. (re revolving capital pool for industry) That, pending study by the Government as to the financial implications and statutory requirements of a revolving capital pool for industry, and as to whether this would be the best technique to encourage energy conservation by industry, a decision on this recommendation be deferred. III-18. (re peak reduction targets) That, the Government make an interim report to the Legislature within 2 months on the specific peak demand reduction targets which are to be met by Ontario Hydro for each year over the next 10 years, and the changes, Legislative and otherwise, which must be made to permit a workable load management program. III-23. That pending the development of, and experience with, Hydro’s load management program, Ontario Hydro not further reduce its targets for adding generation capacity in the next 10 years. III-24. That, pending the development of, and experience with, Hydro’s load management program, Ontario Hydro not develop a new generation plan which would reduce its planned growth in its generation capacity. III-27. (re James Bay) That, the Government request Ontario Hydro to continue its investigations of the potential which might exist for Ontario from developments of the hydro-electric potential in the Baie James area of Quebec; and that, in view of public hearings being held by the Royal Commission on Electric Power Planning and their intent to make recommendations to the Government on hydro-electric resource development in the Province, the Government advise Ontario Hydro that there will be no development of the Albany River at this time. III-28. (re examination of nuclear commitment) That, the Legislature endorse the review of nuclear power being conducted by the Royal Commission on Electric Power Planning. III-29 (iii) (re monitoring Select Committee) That, in order to permit sufficient time to implement the recommendations approved by the Legislature, consideration of a monitoring

Select Committee be deferred for 1 year. IV-3. That, considering water power is an energy resource in the same vein as coal, uranium, oil and natural gas, Ontario Hydro not be exempt from water power rentals.

---

**PRIVATE MEMBER'S NOTICE OF MOTION TABLED ON  
NOVEMBER 8th, 1976**

*(Private Members' Notices of Motion, other than for amendments to Government Orders, will be published on the Notice Paper each Monday.)*

17. *Mr. MacDonald*—Notice of Reasoned Amendment—That Bill 131, An Act Respecting Farm Income Stabilization, be not now read a second time, but be referred back to the government with instructions to incorporate therein: 1. a formal role for farmers' general and commodity organizations in the development of, and the determination of the terms and conditions of, any plan requested by a group of producers; 2. comprehensive coverage for all Ontario commodities which will provide full support up to the negotiated stabilization level irrespective of the actions of the federal government; 3. a provision for current costs of production to be reflected in the final stabilization price or prices under any plan with the revised bill to be reintroduced into the Legislature no later than December 1, 1976.

---

**PRIVATE MEMBERS' NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION  
OF PAPERS**

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
  2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
  3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
  4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
  5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
-

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
  
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
  
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
  
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
  
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
  
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil



servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.

60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government

Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people,

be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.



II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes.
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetical mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000

to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Mr. Bullbrook*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry of Culture and Recreation supply a list of all Wintario grants, capital and operating, awarded since the beginning of the program, listed by constituency, and indicating the location and sponsor of the project and the amount of the grant.
142. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Treasurer advise,  
(a) What has been the total amount of machinery sales for each 6 month period following the elimination of sales tax in the spring of 1975,  
(b) What was the level of sales for each 6 month period for the previous two years.
143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which

can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations.”. Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the “cause” of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of “cause” under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent “reasonable” access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.



151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.
152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original

growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*



## RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

### COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of the Attorney General, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Labour, and thereafter as required.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, November 10th at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

---

### BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—15.

---

CAZON  
X 4  
-0 82



No. 79

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### THIRD READINGS

1. Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.\* *Mr. Rhodes.* **RE-PRINTED.**
2. Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.* **REPRINTED.**
3. Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

## GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

5. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
6. *Committee of the Whole House:*  

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.*  
**PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman (Durham-York).\** **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.



15. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
  16. *Second Reading* Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson*. **PRINTED.**
  17. *Second Reading* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
  18. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
  19. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
  20. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to "Trends and Options" and other documents related to Ontario's future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBER'S NOTICE OF MOTION

*(Private Members' Notices of Motion, other than for amendments to Government Orders, will be published on the Notice Paper each Monday.)*

17. *Mr. MacDonald*—Notice of Reasoned Amendment—That Bill 131, An Act Respecting Farm Income Stabilization, be not now read a second time, but be referred back to the government with instructions to incorporate therein: 1. a formal role for farmers' general and commodity organizations in the development of, and the determination of the terms and conditions of, any plan requested by a group of producers; 2. comprehensive coverage for all Ontario commodities which will provide full support up to the negotiated stabilization level irrespective of the actions of the federal government; 3. a provision for current costs of production to be reflected in the final stabilization price or prices under any plan with the revised bill to be reintroduced into the Legislature no later than December 1, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and

inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
  
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
  
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
  
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
  
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.



54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government

Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.

109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.

111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.

115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people,

be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Dukszta*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.



II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Inde(ces).
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of

- (1) computer programming;
- (2) CPU time;
- (3) I/O waiting time;
- (4) computer printing or card-punching;
- or
- (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons; plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetical mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000

to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*
142. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Treasurer advise,  
(a) What has been the total amount of machinery sales for each 6 month period following the elimination of sales tax in the spring of 1975,  
(b) What was the level of sales for each 6 month period for the previous two years.
143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations.". Has the Minister met

with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of "cause" under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent "reasonable" access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.



151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.
152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original



growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.

166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the Estimates of the Justice Policy, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of the Attorney General, and thereafter as required.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, November 18th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—14.

---





No. 80

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman (Durham-York).\** **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
15. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.*

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

16. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.*
17. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.*

---

### PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS

18. *Second Reading Bill 19, An Act to amend The Ontario Lottery Corporation Act, 1974. Mr. Burr. PRINTED.*
19. *Second Reading Bill 21, An Act to amend The School Boards and Teachers Collective Negotiations Act, 1975. Mr. Leluk. PRINTED.*
20. *Second Reading Bill 24, An Act relating to the Installation of Automatic Fire Extinguishing Systems in Buildings. Mr. Deans. PRINTED.*
21. *Second Reading Bill 28, An Act to amend The Education Act, 1974. Mr. Grande. PRINTED.*
22. *Second Reading Bill 29, An Act to establish The Automobile Insurance Rate Control Board. Mr. Swart. PRINTED.*
23. *Second Reading Bill 30, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. Mr. Haggerty. PRINTED.*
24. *Second Reading Bill 31, An Act to relieve Persons from Liability in respect of voluntary Emergency Medical and First Aid Services. Mr. Haggerty. PRINTED.*
25. *Second Reading Bill 32, An Act to provide for the Establishment of Safety Committees. Mr. Haggerty. PRINTED.*
26. *Second Reading Bill 35, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
27. *Second Reading Bill 36, An Act to establish a Medical Data Bank. Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville). PRINTED.*
28. *Second Reading Bill 40, An Act to amend The Athletics Control Act. Mr. Grossman. PRINTED.*
29. *Second Reading Bill 42, An Act to amend The Election Finances Reform Act, 1975. Mr. Johnson (Wellington-Dufferin-Peel). PRINTED.*
30. *Second Reading Bill 49, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972. Mr. Leluk. PRINTED.*

31. *Second Reading* Bill 50, An Act to amend The Religious Institutions Act. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
32. *Second Reading* Bill 53, An Act to provide Assistance to Elderly Tenants. *Mr. Renwick.* **PRINTED.**
33. *Second Reading* Bill 61, An Act to amend The Consumer Reporting Act, 1973. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
34. *Second Reading* Bill 63, An Act to provide for Individualized Price Marking on Products. *Mr. MacDonald.* **PRINTED.**
35. *Second Reading* Bill 65, An Act to provide for the Appointment of a Labour Ombudsman. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
36. *Second Reading* Bill 66, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
37. *Second Reading* Bill 67, An Act to establish The Ontario Bill of Rights. *Mr. Roy.* **PRINTED.**
38. *Second Reading* Bill 69, An Act to amend The Municipal Elections Act. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
39. *Second Reading* Bill 70, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Evans.* **PRINTED.**
40. *Second Reading* Bill 71, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
41. *Second Reading* Bill 72, An Act to amend The Legislative Assembly Act. *Mr. Williams.* **PRINTED.**
42. *Second Reading* Bill 74, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Driver Training Schools. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
43. *Second Reading* Bill 79, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
44. *Second Reading* Bill 80, An Act to amend The Child Welfare Act. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
45. *Second Reading* Bill 83, An Act to amend The Family Benefits Act. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**
46. *Second Reading* Bill 86, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972. *Mr. Ziembra.* **PRINTED.**



47. *Second Reading* Bill 88, An Act to amend The Mental Health Act, 1974. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East). **PRINTED.**
48. *Second Reading* Bill 92, An Act for the Promotion and Protection of the Health and Safety of Persons engaged in Occupations. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**
49. *Second Reading* Bill 93, An Act to prohibit the Sale of Handcuffs. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
50. *Second Reading* Bill 95, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Durham Act, 1973. *Mr. Godfrey.* **PRINTED.**
51. *Second Reading* Bill 103, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
52. *Second Reading* Bill 107, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
53. *Second Reading* Bill 111, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
54. *Second Reading* Bill 112, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
55. *Second Reading* Bill 113, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
56. *Second Reading* Bill 114, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
57. *Second Reading* Bill 115, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
58. *Second Reading* Bill 116, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
59. *Second Reading* Bill 117, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
60. *Second Reading* Bill 118, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
61. *Second Reading* Bill 119, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED**
62. *Second Reading* Bill 120, An Act to amend The Retail Business Holidays Act, 1975 (2nd Session). *Mr. Shore.* **PRINTED**
63. *Second Reading* Bill 121, An Act to control Professional Fund-raising Corporations. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED**

64. *Second Reading* Bill 124, An Act to amend The Public Officers Act.  
*Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED**
  65. *Second Reading* Bill 125, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
  66. *Second Reading* Bill 126, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Smoking in Public Places. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
  67. *Second Reading* Bill 128, An Act respecting Special Educational Programs.  
*Mr. Foulds.* **PRINTED.**
  68. *Second Reading* Bill 129, An Act to provide Political Rights for Public Servants. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
  69. *Second Reading* Bill 142, An Act to amend The Hospital Labour Disputes Arbitration Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
  70. *Second Reading* Bill 143, An Act respecting Toxic and Hazardous Substances. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  71. *Second Reading* Bill 144, An Act respecting the Collection of Occupational Health Data. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  72. *Second Reading* Bill 145, An Act respecting the Ensuring of Rights of Employees to refuse to Perform Work that is Dangerous to their Health or Safety. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  73. *Second Reading* Bill 146, An Act respecting Health and Safety Committees.  
*Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  74. *Second Reading* Bill 147, An Act respecting the Establishment of Worker-Inspectors in the Work Place. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  75. *Second Reading* Bill 148, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act.  
*Mr. Breithaupt.* **PRINTED.**
  76. *Second Reading* Bill 157, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. Cunningham.* **PRINTED.**
  77. *Second Reading* Bill 158, The Uniform Time Act, 1976. *Mr. Cassidy.*  
**PRINTED.**
  78. *Second Reading* Bill 159, An Act respecting the Advertising and Selling of Perishable Staples. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
  79. *Second Reading* Bill 160, An Act to establish the Ontario Waste Disposal and Reclamation Commission. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville).  
**PRINTED.**
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBERS’ NOTICES OF MOTION

1. *Withdrawn.*
8. *Mr. Burr*—Resolution—That, in the opinion of this House, the government should provide funds, similar to those that have already been granted for snowmobiling trails and maintenance, to municipalities or the Ontario Trails Council to carry out similar projects for bicycling.
10. *Mr. Foulds*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, Private Members’ Public Bills and Private Members’ Resolutions, after having been called for Second Reading, must be brought to a vote after debate on Second Reading, and disposed of in the same way as Government Bills.
11. *Mr. Smith (Simcoe East)*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Province of Ontario should provide and erect historical markers for the birthplaces of all Lieutenant-Governors and Premiers of Ontario.
13. *Debated November 8th, 1976.*
14. *Mr. Davison (Hamilton Centre)*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Government should introduce legislation to provide rent control for small business commercial and industrial premises.
15. *Mr. Smith (Hamilton West)*—Resolution—That a Select Committee of the Legislature be appointed to examine the forest industry in Ontario with particular regard to the tract of land in northwestern Ontario being discussed with the Reed Paper Company, taking into account the impact upon native peoples, the environmental impact, reforestation problems, the economic effect of the decision generally, and the present system of timber licenses and the procedure for the awarding of such licences in the Province of Ontario; and that the Select Committee have authority to sit during recesses and the interval between sessions, and to travel throughout the Province to hold hearings as it deems necessary, and have full power and authority to employ counsel and other such personnel as may be deemed advisable and to hold meetings and hearings in such places as the Committee may deem advisable and to call for persons, papers and things and to examine witnesses under oath, and the Assembly doth command and compel attendance

before the said Select Committee of such persons and the production of such papers and things as the Committee may deem necessary for any of its proceedings and deliberations, for which the Honourable the Speaker may issue his warrant or warrants.

16. *Ms. Gigantes*—Resolution—That in the opinion of the House, the government should move to relieve the double tax that condominium property owners now bear by giving condominiums the power to negotiate tax cuts or rebates with their municipality, to compensate for services like garbage removal, snow clearance, which they provide for themselves and until tax reform is in place, the province should bear the cost of these rebates.
17. *Mr. MacDonald*—Notice of Reasoned Amendment—That Bill 131, An Act Respecting Farm Income Stabilization, be not now read a second time, but be referred back to the government with instructions to incorporate therein: 1. a formal role for farmers' general and commodity organizations in the development of, and the determination of the terms and conditions of, any plan requested by a group of producers; 2. comprehensive coverage for all Ontario commodities which will provide full support up to the negotiated stabilization level irrespective of the actions of the federal government; 3. a provision for current costs of production to be reflected in the final stabilization price or prices under any plan with the revised bill to be reintroduced into the Legislature no later than December 1, 1976.

---

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and



inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
  
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
  
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
  
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
  
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government

Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people,



be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Dukszta*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.



II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Inde(ces).
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons; plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetical mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000

to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Treasurer advise, (a) What has been the total amount of machinery sales for each 6 month period following the elimination of sales tax in the spring of 1975, (b) What was the level of sales for each 6 month period for the previous two years.

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met

with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of "cause" under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent "reasonable" access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.



151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.
  
152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
  
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
  
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
  
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original



growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the “stumpage” fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than “natural” regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.

166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the Estimates of the Justice Policy, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Energy, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, November 18th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

# **BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—13.

---



CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



Government  
Publications

No. 81

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 16TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller*. **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell*. **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham-York).\* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth*. **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
12. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson*. **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
15. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.*
16. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.*

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

17. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.
  18. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBER’S NOTICE OF MOTION

*(Private Members’ Notices of Motion, other than for amendments to Government Orders, will be published on the Notice Paper each Monday.)*

17. *Mr. MacDonald*—Notice of Reasoned Amendment—That Bill 131, An Act Respecting Farm Income Stabilization, be not now read a second time, but be referred back to the government with instructions to incorporate therein: 1. a formal role for farmers’ general and commodity organizations in the development of, and the determination of the terms and conditions of, any plan requested by a group of producers; 2. comprehensive coverage for all Ontario commodities which will provide full support up to the negotiated stabilization level irrespective of the actions of the federal government; 3. a provision for current costs of production to be reflected in the final stabilization price or prices under any plan with the revised bill to be reintroduced into the Legislature no later than December 1, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and



inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.

### QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government

Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people,

be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.



II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Inde(ces).
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetical mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000

to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, “is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations”. Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of "cause" under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent "reasonable" access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants



who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original



growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.

166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Energy, and thereafter as required.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, November 17th at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

---

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet on Wednesday, November 17th at 10.30 a.m., in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the Estimates of the Justice Policy, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, November 18th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—12.

---



CA20N

X 4

-0 82



Government  
Publications

No. 82

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 17TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### COMMITTEES

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, at 10.30 a.m., in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the Estimates of the Justice Policy, and thereafter as required.

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, at 2.00 p.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Energy, and thereafter as required.

# NOTICE PAPER

QUESTIONS TABLED NOVEMBER 16th, 1976

170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).
171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet on Thursday, November 18th following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Energy, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet on Thursday, November 18th following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the Estimates of the Justice Policy, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, November 18th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

### **BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—11.

---





CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



No. 83

Government  
Publications

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 18TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY


#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**



Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller*. **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell*. **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham-York).\* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth*. **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
12. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson*. **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry*. **PRINTED.**
15. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.*
16. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.*

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

17. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.
  18. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBER’S NOTICE OF MOTION

*(Private Members’ Notices of Motion, other than for amendments to Government Orders, will be published on the Notice Paper each Monday.)*

17. *Mr. MacDonald*—Notice of Reasoned Amendment—That Bill 131, An Act Respecting Farm Income Stabilization, be not now read a second time, but be referred back to the government with instructions to incorporate therein: 1. a formal role for farmers’ general and commodity organizations in the development of, and the determination of the terms and conditions of, any plan requested by a group of producers; 2. comprehensive coverage for all Ontario commodities which will provide full support up to the negotiated stabilization level irrespective of the actions of the federal government; 3. a provision for current costs of production to be reflected in the final stabilization price or prices under any plan with the revised bill to be reintroduced into the Legislature no later than December 1, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and



inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government

Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people,

be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.



II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes.
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetical mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000

to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of "cause" under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent "reasonable" access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants

who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original



growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being "acceptable". (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being "safe". (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the "fail-safe" system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.

166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the

above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Energy, and thereafter as required.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.



Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—10.

---









CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82




Government  
Publications

No. 84

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 19TH, 1976



## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman (Durham-York).*\* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
12. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.*
15. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.*
16. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.*

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

17. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Justice Policy.
  18. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on 'the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBER’S NOTICE OF MOTION

*(Private Members’ Notices of Motion, other than for amendments to Government Orders, will be published on the Notice Paper each Monday.)*

17. *Mr. MacDonald*—Notice of Reasoned Amendment—That Bill 131, An Act Respecting Farm Income Stabilization, be not now read a second time, but be referred back to the government with instructions to incorporate therein: 1. a formal role for farmers’ general and commodity organizations in the development of, and the determination of the terms and conditions of, any plan requested by a group of producers; 2. comprehensive coverage for all Ontario commodities which will provide full support up to the negotiated stabilization level irrespective of the actions of the federal government; 3. a provision for current costs of production to be reflected in the final stabilization price or prices under any plan with the revised bill to be reintroduced into the Legislature no later than December 1, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and



inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
  
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
  
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
  
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
  
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government

Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people,

be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.



II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes.
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of

- (1) computer programming;
- (2) CPU time;
- (3) I/O waiting time;
- (4) computer printing or card-punching;
- or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetical mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000

to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of "cause" under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent "reasonable" access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants



who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original



growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition.

4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.

166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the

above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Ms. Sandeman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Correctional Services table the causes of death of the 10 children who died in Ontario Training Schools during the period April 1, 1974 to March 31, 1975. Were inquests held after any or all of these deaths. Were there any deaths in Training Schools or of Training School Wards, during the period April 1, 1975 to March 31, 1976. If so were inquests held.
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Industry and Tourism, and thereafter as required.



The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, November 25th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—9.

---









CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



No. 85

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 22ND 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman (Durham-York).\** **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
12. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Concurrence in Supply* for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
15. *Concurrence in Supply* for the Ministry of Attorney General.
16. *Concurrence in Supply* for the Ministry of Labour.

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

17. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Justice Policy.
  18. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Energy.
  19. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
- 

### PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS

20. *Second Reading* Bill 19, An Act to amend The Ontario Lottery Corporation Act, 1974. *Mr. Burr.* **PRINTED.**
21. *Second Reading* Bill 21, An Act to amend The School Boards and Teachers Collective Negotiations Act, 1975. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
22. *Second Reading* Bill 24, An Act relating to the Installation of Automatic Fire Extinguishing Systems in Buildings. *Mr. Deans.* **PRINTED.**
23. *Second Reading* Bill 28, An Act to amend The Education Act, 1974. *Mr. Grande.* **PRINTED.**
24. *Second Reading* Bill 29, An Act to establish The Automobile Insurance Rate Control Board. *Mr. Swart.* **PRINTED.**
25. *Second Reading* Bill 30, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
26. *Second Reading* Bill 31, An Act to relieve Persons from Liability in respect of voluntary Emergency Medical and First Aid Services. *Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
27. *Second Reading* Bill 32, An Act to provide for the Establishment of Safety Committees. *Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
28. *Second Reading* Bill 35, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
29. *Second Reading* Bill 36, An Act to establish a Medical Data Bank. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
30. *Second Reading* Bill 40, An Act to amend The Athletics Control Act. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
31. *Second Reading* Bill 42, An Act to amend The Election Finances Reform Act, 1975. *Mr. Johnson* (Wellington-Dufferin-Peel). **PRINTED.**
32. *Second Reading* Bill 49, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**

33. *Second Reading* Bill 50, An Act to amend The Religious Institutions Act. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
34. *Second Reading* Bill 53, An Act to provide Assistance to Elderly Tenants. *Mr. Renwick.* **PRINTED.**
35. *Second Reading* Bill 61, An Act to amend The Consumer Reporting Act, 1973. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
36. *Second Reading* Bill 63, An Act to provide for Individualized Price Marking on Products. *Mr. MacDonald.* **PRINTED.**
37. *Second Reading* Bill 65, An Act to provide for the Appointment of a Labour Ombudsman. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
38. *Second Reading* Bill 66, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
39. *Second Reading* Bill 67, An Act to establish The Ontario Bill of Rights. *Mr. Roy.* **PRINTED.**
40. *Second Reading* Bill 69, An Act to amend The Municipal Elections Act. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
41. *Second Reading* Bill 70, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Evans.* **PRINTED.**
42. *Second Reading* Bill 71, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
43. *Second Reading* Bill 72, An Act to amend The Legislative Assembly Act. *Mr. Williams.* **PRINTED.**
44. *Second Reading* Bill 74, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Driver Training Schools. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
45. *Second Reading* Bill 79, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
46. *Second Reading* Bill 80, An Act to amend The Child Welfare Act. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
47. *Second Reading* Bill 83, An Act to amend The Family Benefits Act. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**
48. *Second Reading* Bill 86, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972. *Mr. Ziembra.* **PRINTED.**



49. *Second Reading* Bill 88, An Act to amend The Mental Health Act, 1974. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East). **PRINTED.**
50. *Second Reading* Bill 92, An Act for the Promotion and Protection of the Health and Safety of Persons engaged in Occupations. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**
51. *Second Reading* Bill 95, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Durham Act, 1973. *Mr. Godfrey.* **PRINTED.**
52. *Second Reading* Bill 103, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
53. *Second Reading* Bill 107, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
54. *Second Reading* Bill 111, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
55. *Second Reading* Bill 112, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
56. *Second Reading* Bill 113, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
57. *Second Reading* Bill 114, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
58. *Second Reading* Bill 115, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
59. *Second Reading* Bill 116, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
60. *Second Reading* Bill 117, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
61. *Second Reading* Bill 118, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
62. *Second Reading* Bill 119, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
63. *Second Reading* Bill 120, An Act to amend The Retail Business Holidays Act, 1975 (2nd Session). *Mr. Shore.* **PRINTED.**
64. *Second Reading* Bill 121, An Act to control Professional Fund-raising Corporations. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
65. *Second Reading* Bill 124, An Act to amend The Public Officers Act. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**

66. *Second Reading* Bill 125, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
  67. *Second Reading* Bill 126, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Smoking in Public Places. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
  68. *Second Reading* Bill 128, An Act respecting Special Educational Programs. *Mr. Foulds.* **PRINTED.**
  69. *Second Reading* Bill 129, An Act to provide Political Rights for Public Servants. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
  70. *Second Reading* Bill 142, An Act to amend The Hospital Labour Disputes Arbitration Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
  71. *Second Reading* Bill 143, An Act respecting Toxic and Hazardous Substances. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  72. *Second Reading* Bill 144, An Act respecting the Collection of Occupational Health Data. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  73. *Second Reading* Bill 145, An Act respecting the Ensuring of Rights of Employees to refuse to Perform Work that is Dangerous to their Health or Safety. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  74. *Second Reading* Bill 146, An Act respecting Health and Safety Committees. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  75. *Second Reading* Bill 147, An Act respecting the Establishment of Worker-Inspectors in the Work Place. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
  76. *Second Reading* Bill 148, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Breithaupt.* **PRINTED.**
  77. *Second Reading* Bill 157, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. Cunningham.* **PRINTED.**
  78. *Second Reading* Bill 158, The Uniform Time Act, 1976. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
  79. *Second Reading* Bill 159, An Act respecting the Advertising and Selling of Perishable Staples. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
  80. *Second Reading* Bill 160, An Act to establish the Ontario Waste Disposal and Reclamation Commission. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
  81. *Second Reading* Bill 162, An Act to provide for the Designation and Retention of Foodlands. *Mr. McCague.*
  82. *Second Reading* Bill 163, An Act to amend The Construction Safety Act, 1973. *Mr. Di Santo.*
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBERS’ NOTICES OF MOTION

1. *Withdrawn.*
8. *Mr. Burr*—Resolution—That, in the opinion of this House, the government should provide funds, similar to those that have already been granted for snowmobiling trails and maintenance, to municipalities or the Ontario Trails Council to carry out similar projects for bicycling.
10. *Mr. Foulds*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, Private Members’ Public Bills and Private Members’ Resolutions, after having been called for Second Reading, must be brought to a vote after debate on Second Reading, and disposed of in the same way as Government Bills.
11. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Province of Ontario should provide and erect historical markers for the birthplaces of all Lieutenant-Governors and Premiers of Ontario.
13. *Debated November 8th, 1976.*
14. *Mr. Davison* (Hamilton Centre)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Government should introduce legislation to provide rent control for small business commercial and industrial premises.
15. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—Resolution—That a Select Committee of the Legislature be appointed to examine the forest industry in Ontario with particular regard to the tract of land in northwestern Ontario being discussed with the Reed Paper Company, taking into account the impact upon native peoples, the environmental impact, reforestation problems, the economic effect of the decision generally, and the present system of timber licenses and the procedure for the awarding of such licences in the Province of Ontario; and that the Select Committee have authority to sit during recesses and the interval between sessions, and to travel throughout the Province to hold hearings as it deems necessary, and have full power and authority to employ counsel and other such personnel as may be deemed advisable and to hold meetings and hearings in such places as the Committee may deem advisable and to call for persons, papers and things and to examine witnesses under oath, and the Assembly doth command and compel attendance

before the said Select Committee of such persons and the production of such papers and things as the Committee may deem necessary for any of its proceedings and deliberations, for which the Honourable the Speaker may issue his warrant or warrants.

16. *Ms. Gigantes*—Resolution—That in the opinion of the House, the government should move to relieve the double tax that condominium property owners now bear by giving condominiums the power to negotiate tax cuts or rebates with their municipality, to compensate for services like garbage removal, snow clearance, which they provide for themselves and until tax reform is in place, the province should bear the cost of these rebates.
17. *Mr. MacDonald*—Notice of Reasoned Amendment—That Bill 131, An Act Respecting Farm Income Stabilization, be not now read a second time, but be referred back to the government with instructions to incorporate therein: 1. a formal role for farmers' general and commodity organizations in the development of, and the determination of the terms and conditions of, any plan requested by a group of producers; 2. comprehensive coverage for all Ontario commodities which will provide full support up to the negotiated stabilization level irrespective of the actions of the federal government; 3. a provision for current costs of production to be reflected in the final stabilization price or prices under any plan with the revised bill to be reintroduced into the Legislature no later than December 1, 1976.

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and



inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government

Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people,



be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.



II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes.
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of

- (1) computer programming;
- (2) CPU time;
- (3) I/O waiting time;
- (4) computer printing or card-punching;
- or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetical mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000

to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of "cause" under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent "reasonable" access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants



who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original



growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.

166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the



above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Ms. Sandeman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Correctional Services table the causes of death of the 10 children who died in Ontario Training Schools during the period April 1, 1974 to March 31, 1975. Were inquests held after any or all of these deaths. Were there any deaths in Training Schools or of Training School Wards, during the period April 1, 1975 to March 31, 1976. If so were inquests held.
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.
176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the Globe and Mail, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.



## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Industry and Tourism, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet on Wednesday, November 24th at 10.30 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, November 25th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—8.

---







CAZON

X 4

-0 82

Government  
Publications



No. 86

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 23RD, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham-York).\* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
12. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
15. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.
16. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

17. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Justice Policy.
  18. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Energy.
  19. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to "Trends and Options" and other documents related to Ontario's future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBER'S NOTICE OF MOTION

*(Private Members' Notices of Motion, other than for amendments to Government Orders, will be published on the Notice Paper each Monday.)*

17. *Mr. MacDonald*—Notice of Reasoned Amendment—That Bill 131, An Act Respecting Farm Income Stabilization, be not now read a second time, but be referred back to the government with instructions to incorporate therein: 1. a formal role for farmers' general and commodity organizations in the development of, and the determination of the terms and conditions of, any plan requested by a group of producers; 2. comprehensive coverage for all Ontario commodities which will provide full support up to the negotiated stabilization level irrespective of the actions of the federal government; 3. a provision for current costs of production to be reflected in the final stabilization price or prices under any plan with the revised bill to be reintroduced into the Legislature no later than December 1, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and



inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government

Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people,



be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates.



II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—

- (i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims.
- (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day.
- (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow.

III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc.

IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—

- (i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards.
- (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment.
- (iii) Any other key punching or editing services.
- (iv) Microfilming of claims.
- (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians.
- (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year.
- (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes.
- (viii) The coding of ICDA codes.

In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—

- (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated).
- (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated).

V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of

- (1) computer programming;
- (2) CPU time;
- (3) I/O waiting time;
- (4) computer printing or card-punching; or
- (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—

- (i) Processing of claims to files.
- (ii) On-line storage of data sets.
- (iii) Compiling new programs.
- (iv) Modifying existing programs.
- (v) Compiling existing programs.
- (vi) Debugging existing programs.
- (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files.

What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units.

VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based.

VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—

- (i) Computerised cross-checking of claims.
- (ii) Manual assessment procedures.
- (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices.
- (iv) Other means.

VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—

- (i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors).
- (ii) What was the arithmetical mean.
- (iii) What was the standard deviation.
- (iv) What was the mode and the median.
- (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000

to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
147. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information concerning claims handled by the Workmen's Compensation Board: (i) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient of an allowance was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act*; (ii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases in 1973, 1974 and 1975 wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
148. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the definition of "cause" under s. 42 (7) of the *Workmen's Compensation Act* which the Board uses in determining whether or not an allowance should be made into a pension.
149. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour provide the House with the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension (for 1973, 1974, 1975).
150. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under s. 77 (1) to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent "reasonable" access to information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
151. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the number of applications made to the Workmen's Compensation Board in 1973, 1974 and 1975 by claimants



who had contracted a disease through, by or in their employment but who were disqualified because the disease was not disabling. Further, have any such claims been accepted and if so, how many.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original



growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the “stumpage” fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than “natural” regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.

166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the



above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Ms. Sandeman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Correctional Services table the causes of death of the 10 children who died in Ontario Training Schools during the period April 1, 1974 to March 31, 1975. Were inquests held after any or all of these deaths. Were there any deaths in Training Schools or of Training School Wards, during the period April 1, 1975 to March 31, 1976. If so were inquests held.
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.
176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the Globe and Mail, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.



## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

### SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Industry and Tourism, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet on Wednesday, November 24th at 10.30 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, November 25th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Standing Social Development Committee will meet on Thursday, November 25th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—7.

---







CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82




Committee  
Room

No. 87

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 24TH, 1976 TORONTO



## ORDER PAPER

### COMMITTEES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, at 3.00 p.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Resources Policy, and thereafter as required.

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, at 10.30 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976.

# NOTICE PAPER

## QUESTIONS TABLED NOVEMBER 23rd, 1976

177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

### SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, November 25th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Standing Social Development Committee will meet on Thursday, November 25th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—6.

---





CA20N

X 4

-0 82



No. 88

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

Government  
Publications

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 25TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman (Durham-York).\** **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act. *Mr. Meen.\** **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
15. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.
16. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.
17. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Justice Policy.

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

18. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Energy.
  19. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
  20. *House in Committee of Supply.*
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
  2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
  3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
  4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
  5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
- 

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor,



the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.

37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*

38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.

41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.

43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought;

what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.

60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the previous owners of all property purchased by the Government of Ontario in Thunder Bay in the last ten years, including all properties purchased by Provincial Corporations.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Govern-

ment. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation report the total cost of the Sports Achievement Awards Banquet in Toronto on May 5, 1976, including printing and mailing of invitations, dinner, alcoholic beverages, tips, entertainment and any other costs attributable to the event. In addition, would he specify 2. How many award winners attended. 3. How many M.P.P.s attended. 4. How many public servants attended. 5. How many other persons attended.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.



125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic



services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—(i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in

non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.

146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production

and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

147. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

148. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

149. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

150. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

151. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.

153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.

154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.

155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access



to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.



159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the “stumpage” fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than “natural” regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s

Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.

165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.
166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the

Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form:

1. Total number of hearings held.
2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings.
3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once).
4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed.
5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded.
6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded.
7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss.

The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Ms. Sandeman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Correctional Services table the causes of death of the 10 children who died in Ontario Training Schools during the period April 1, 1974 to March 31, 1975. Were inquests held after any or all of these deaths. Were there any deaths in Training Schools or of Training School Wards, during the period April 1, 1975 to March 31, 1976. If so were inquests held.
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.



176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the *Globe and Mail*, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

### SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---



## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Standing Social Development Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Environment, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—5.

---









CA20N

X 4

-0 82



No. 89

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 26TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS


1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Government  
Publications



Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act. *Mr. Meen.\** **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.*
14. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.*
15. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.*
16. *Concurrence in Supply for the Justice Policy.*
17. *Concurrence in Supply for the Ministry of Energy.*

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

18. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Resources Development Policy.
  19. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
  20. *House in Committee of Supply.*
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
  2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
  3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
  4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
  5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
- 

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours



to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.

37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*

38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.

41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.

43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles

were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.

60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Answered November 25th, 1976.*
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Govern-

ment. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.

109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.

111. *Answered November 25th, 1976.*

115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected



to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.

127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973,



1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:— (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly,

they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.

146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years;

(i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

147. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

148. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

149. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

150. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

151. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.

153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.

154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.

155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access



to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.



159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the “stumpage” fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than “natural” regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s

Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.

165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.
166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the

Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Ms. Sandeman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Correctional Services table the causes of death of the 10 children who died in Ontario Training Schools during the period April 1, 1974 to March 31, 1975. Were inquests held after any or all of these deaths. Were there any deaths in Training Schools or of Training School Wards, during the period April 1, 1975 to March 31, 1976. If so were inquests held.
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.



176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the *Globe and Mail*, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.



183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.
186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled... the employee... entitled to compensation".
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
-

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York).

### SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Environment, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Regulations Committee will meet on Tuesday, November 30th at 1.00 p.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Standing Social Development Committee will meet on Wednesday, December 1st at 10.00 a.m. in the Ontario Room South, Macdonald Block to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd at 9.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Industry and Tourism.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—4.

---







Ontario

No. 90

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

MONDAY, NOVEMBER 29TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act. *Mr. Meen.\** **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Concurrence in Supply* for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
15. *Concurrence in Supply* for the Ministry of Attorney General.
16. *Concurrence in Supply* for the Ministry of Labour.
17. *Concurrence in Supply* for the Justice Policy.
18. *Concurrence in Supply* for the Ministry of Energy.

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

19. *Concurrence in Supply for the Resources Development Policy.*
  20. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.*
  21. *House in Committee of Supply.*
- 

### PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS

22. *Second Reading* Bill 19, An Act to amend The Ontario Lottery Corporation Act, 1974. *Mr. Burr.* **PRINTED.**
23. *Second Reading* Bill 21, An Act to amend The School Boards and Teachers Collective Negotiations Act, 1975. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
24. *Second Reading* Bill 24, An Act relating to the Installation of Automatic Fire Extinguishing Systems in Buildings. *Mr. Deans.* **PRINTED.**
25. *Second Reading* Bill 28, An Act to amend The Education Act, 1974. *Mr. Grande.* **PRINTED.**
26. *Second Reading* Bill 29, An Act to establish The Automobile Insurance Rate Control Board. *Mr. Swart.* **PRINTED.**
27. *Second Reading* Bill 30, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
28. *Second Reading* Bill 31, An Act to relieve Persons from Liability in respect of voluntary Emergency Medical and First Aid Services. *Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
29. *Second Reading* Bill 32, An Act to provide for the Establishment of Safety Committees. *Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
30. *Second Reading* Bill 35, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
31. *Second Reading* Bill 36, An Act to establish a Medical Data Bank. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
32. *Second Reading* Bill 40, An Act to amend The Athletics Control Act. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
33. *Second Reading* Bill 42, An Act to amend The Election Finances Reform Act, 1975. *Mr. Johnson* (Wellington-Dufferin-Peel). **PRINTED.**
34. *Second Reading* Bill 49, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**

35. *Second Reading* Bill 50, An Act to amend The Religious Institutions Act. *Mr. Leluk*. **PRINTED.**
36. *Second Reading* Bill 53, An Act to provide Assistance to Elderly Tenants. *Mr. Renwick*. **PRINTED.**
37. *Second Reading* Bill 61, An Act to amend The Consumer Reporting Act, 1973. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
38. *Second Reading* Bill 63, An Act to provide for Individualized Price Marking on Products. *Mr. MacDonald*. **PRINTED.**
39. *Second Reading* Bill 65, An Act to provide for the Appointment of a Labour Ombudsman. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
40. *Second Reading* Bill 66, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Leluk*. **PRINTED.**
41. *Second Reading* Bill 67, An Act to establish The Ontario Bill of Rights. *Mr. Roy*. **PRINTED.**
42. *Second Reading* Bill 69, An Act to amend The Municipal Elections Act. *Mr. Samis*. **PRINTED.**
43. *Second Reading* Bill 70, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Evans*. **PRINTED.**
44. *Second Reading* Bill 71, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Lewis*. **PRINTED.**
45. *Second Reading* Bill 72, An Act to amend The Legislative Assembly Act. *Mr. Williams*. **PRINTED.**
46. *Second Reading* Bill 74, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Driver Training Schools. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
47. *Second Reading* Bill 79, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Bounsall*. **PRINTED.**
48. *Second Reading* Bill 80, An Act to amend The Child Welfare Act. *Mr. Leluk*. **PRINTED.**
49. *Second Reading* Bill 83, An Act to amend The Family Benefits Act. *Mr. Martel*. **PRINTED.**
50. *Second Reading* Bill 86, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972. *Mr. Ziembra*. **PRINTED.**



51. *Second Reading* Bill 88, An Act to amend The Mental Health Act, 1974. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East). **PRINTED.**
52. *Second Reading* Bill 92, An Act for the Promotion and Protection of the Health and Safety of Persons engaged in Occupations. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**
53. *Second Reading* Bill 95, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Durham Act, 1973. *Mr. Godfrey.* **PRINTED.**
54. *Second Reading* Bill 103, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
55. *Second Reading* Bill 107, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
56. *Second Reading* Bill 111, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
57. *Second Reading* Bill 112, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
58. *Second Reading* Bill 113, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
59. *Second Reading* Bill 114, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
60. *Second Reading* Bill 115, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
61. *Second Reading* Bill 116, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
62. *Second Reading* Bill 117, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
63. *Second Reading* Bill 118, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
64. *Second Reading* Bill 119, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
65. *Second Reading* Bill 120, An Act to amend The Retail Business Holidays Act, 1975 (2nd Session). *Mr. Shore.* **PRINTED.**
66. *Second Reading* Bill 121, An Act to control Professional Fund-raising Corporations. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
67. *Second Reading* Bill 124, An Act to amend The Public Officers Act. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**

68. *Second Reading* Bill 125, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
69. *Second Reading* Bill 126, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Smoking in Public Places. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
70. *Second Reading* Bill 128, An Act respecting Special Educational Programs. *Mr. Foulds.* **PRINTED.**
71. *Second Reading* Bill 129, An Act to provide Political Rights for Public Servants. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
72. *Second Reading* Bill 143, An Act respecting Toxic and Hazardous Substances. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
73. *Second Reading* Bill 144, An Act respecting the Collection of Occupational Health Data. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
74. *Second Reading* Bill 145, An Act respecting the Ensuring of Rights of Employees to refuse to Perform Work that is Dangerous to their Health or Safety. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
75. *Second Reading* Bill 146, An Act respecting Health and Safety Committees. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
76. *Second Reading* Bill 147, An Act respecting the Establishment of Worker-Inspectors in the Work Place. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
77. *Second Reading* Bill 148, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Breithaupt.* **PRINTED.**
78. *Second Reading* Bill 157, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. Cunningham.* **PRINTED.**
79. *Second Reading* Bill 158, The Uniform Time Act, 1976. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
80. *Second Reading* Bill 159, An Act respecting the Advertising and Selling of Perishable Staples. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
81. *Second Reading* Bill 160, An Act to establish the Ontario Waste Disposal and Reclamation Commission. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
82. *Second Reading* Bill 162, An Act to provide for the Designation and Retention of Foodlands. *Mr. McCague.* **PRINTED.**
83. *Second Reading* Bill 163, An Act to amend The Construction Safety Act, 1973. *Mr. Di Santo.* **PRINTED.**
84. *Second Reading* Bill 164, An Act to amend The Election Finances Reform Act, 1975. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**

85. *Second Reading* Bill 165, An Act respecting Election Public Opinion Polls and Surveys. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
  86. *Second Reading* Bill 166, An Act to register Condominium Property Management Firms. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
  87. *Second Reading* Bill 167, An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act, 1975. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
  88. *Second Reading* Bill 172, An Act respecting Municipal Elections Finances Reform. *Mr. Cassidy.*
  89. *Second Reading* Bill 173, An Act respecting Municipal Candidates. *Mr. Cassidy.*
  90. *Second Reading* Bill 174, An Act respecting the Establishment of Ward Boundaries. *Mr. Cassidy.*
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBERS’ NOTICES OF MOTION

1. *Withdrawn.*
8. *Mr. Burr*—Resolution—That, in the opinion of this House, the government should provide funds, similar to those that have already been granted for snowmobiling trails and maintenance, to municipalities or the Ontario Trails Council to carry out similar projects for bicycling.
10. *Mr. Foulds*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, Private Members’ Public Bills and Private Members’ Resolutions, after having been called for Second Reading, must be brought to a vote after debate on Second Reading, and disposed of in the same way as Government Bills.
11. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Province of Ontario should provide and erect historical markers for the birthplaces of all Lieutenant-Governors and Premiers of Ontario.
13. *Debated November 8th, 1976.*
14. *Mr. Davison* (Hamilton Centre)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Government should introduce legislation to provide rent control for small business commercial and industrial premises.
15. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—Resolution—That a Select Committee of the Legislature be appointed to examine the forest industry in Ontario with particular regard to the tract of land in northwestern Ontario being discussed with the Reed Paper Company, taking into account the impact upon native peoples, the environmental impact, reforestation problems, the economic effect of the decision generally, and the present system of timber licenses and the procedure for the awarding of such licences in the Province of Ontario; and that the Select Committee have authority to sit during recesses and the interval between sessions,



and to travel throughout the Province to hold hearings as it deems necessary, and have full power and authority to employ counsel and other such personnel as may be deemed advisable and to hold meetings and hearings in such places as the Committee may deem advisable and to call for persons, papers and things and to examine witnesses under oath, and the Assembly doth command and compel attendance before the said Select Committee of such persons and the production of such papers and things as the Committee may deem necessary for any of its proceedings and deliberations, for which the Honourable the Speaker may issue his warrant or warrants.

16. *Ms. Gigantes*—Resolution—That in the opinion of the House, the government should move to relieve the double tax that condominium property owners now bear by giving condominiums the power to negotiate tax cuts or rebates with their municipality, to compensate for services like garbage removal, snow clearance, which they provide for themselves and until tax reform is in place, the province should bear the cost of these rebates.
17. *Debated November 23rd, 1976.*

---

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
  2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
  3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
  4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
  5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
-

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of

smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.

60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.

65. *Answered November 25th, 1976.*

67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.

70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*

79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.

88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.

96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Govern-



ment. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.

109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.

111. *Answered November 25th, 1976.*

115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected



to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.

127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973,

1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—(i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly,

they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*

142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.

145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.

146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years;



(i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

147. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*
148. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*
149. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*
150. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*
151. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*
152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access



to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.

159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the “stumpage” fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than “natural” regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's

Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.

165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.
166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the



Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Ms. Sandeman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Correctional Services table the causes of death of the 10 children who died in Ontario Training Schools during the period April 1, 1974 to March 31, 1975. Were inquests held after any or all of these deaths. Were there any deaths in Training Schools or of Training School Wards, during the period April 1, 1975 to March 31, 1976. If so were inquests held.
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.



176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the *Globe and Mail*, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.

183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
  184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
  185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.
  186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation".
  187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
  188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
-

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York).

### SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

### COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Environment, and thereafter as required.

---

The Standing Regulations Committee will meet on Tuesday, November 30th at 1.00 p.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Standing Social Development Committee will meet on Wednesday, December 1st at 10.00 a.m. in the Ontario Room South, Macdonald Block to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd at 9.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Industry and Tourism.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2.

---

### **BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—3.

---



CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



Government  
Publications

No. 91

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 30TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act. *Mr. Meen.\** **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller* (Muskoka). **PRINTED.**
14. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
15. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.
16. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.
17. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Justice Policy.
18. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Energy.

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

19. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Resources Development Policy.
  20. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
  21. *House in Committee of Supply*.
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
  2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
  3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
  4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
  5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
- 

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor,



the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.

37. *Withdrawn November 1st, 1976.*

38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.

41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.

43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.

54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought;

what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.

60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
65. *Answered November 25th, 1976.*
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
70. *Answered November 8th, 1976.*
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or

maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
111. *Answered November 25th, 1976.*
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.
125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.



127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations



or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:— (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner,

for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

141. *Answered November 9th, 1976.*
142. *Answered November 15th, 1976.*
143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, “is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations”. Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.
145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which

are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

147. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

148. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

149. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

150. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

151. *Answered November 23rd, 1976.*

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.

153. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Government Services please list the yearly payments and the length of the lease agreement for those buildings in Northern Ontario leased to the Province of Ontario, and constructed by Dante, Gasparotto, Group Buildings Systems Limited, Ormiston Investments, and Tom Jones & Sons.

154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.

155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access



to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.



159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the “stumpage” fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than “natural” regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s

Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.

165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.
166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the

Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Ms. Sandeman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Correctional Services table the causes of death of the 10 children who died in Ontario Training Schools during the period April 1, 1974 to March 31, 1975. Were inquests held after any or all of these deaths. Were there any deaths in Training Schools or of Training School Wards, during the period April 1, 1975 to March 31, 1976. If so were inquests held.
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.



176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the *Globe and Mail*, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.



183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.
186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled...the employee...entitled to compensation".
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.

189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry*.

Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman*.

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry*.

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry*.

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow*.

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York).

### SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson*.

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Environment, and thereafter as required.

The Standing Regulations Committee will meet today, at 1.00 p.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, December 1st at 10.00 a.m. at the offices of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Downsview.

The Standing Social Development Committee will meet on Wednesday, December 1st at 10.00 a.m. in the Ontario Room South, Macdonald Block to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

---

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd at 9.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Industry and Tourism.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2.

---

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bills:—

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships.

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976.

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons.

---

### **BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—2.

---



CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82

Government  
Publications



No. 92

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 1ST, 1976,

## ORDER PAPER

### COMMITTEES

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. at the offices of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Downsview.

The Standing Social Development Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in the Ontario Room South, Macdonald Block to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bills:—

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships.

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976.

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons.

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of the Environment.

# NOTICE PAPER

## PRIVATE MEMBERS' NOTICE OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS TABLED ON NOVEMBER 30th, 1976

6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.

---

## QUESTIONS TABLED NOVEMBER 30th, 1976

190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York).

## SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd at 9.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Industry and Tourism.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 2nd at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---

Days left for Estimates in Standing Committees—1.

---





CA20N

X 4

-0 82



Government  
Publications

No. 93

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 2ND, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### THIRD READINGS

1. Bill 97, The Credit Unions Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.* **REPRINTED.**
2. Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*
3. Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.*
4. Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

6. Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.*

---

### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

7. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
8. *Committee of the Whole House:*
- Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.*  
**PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
15. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.*  
**PRINTED.**
16. *Second Reading* Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
17. *Second Reading* Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act. *Mr. Meen.\** **PRINTED.**
18. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
19. *Second Reading* Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller (Muskoka).* **PRINTED.**
20. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

21. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.
  22. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.
  23. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Justice Policy.
  24. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Energy.
  25. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Resources Development Policy.
  26. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
  27. *House in Committee of Supply*.
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to "Trends and Options" and other documents related to Ontario's future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
  2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
  3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
  4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
  5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
  6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.
- 

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours



to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.

38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought;

what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.

60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have

received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted.

6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.
125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation

for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.

128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Dukszta*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices



per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:— (i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the

calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.
145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.
152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and

copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.

154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal



Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being "acceptable". (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being "safe". (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the "fail-safe" system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether



or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.

162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.
166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.

167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).
171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.

174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.
176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the *Globe and Mail*, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres

for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.

181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.
186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation".
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount



granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.

188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

## RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman*  
(Durham York).

## SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, at 9.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the Estimates of the Ministry of Industry and Tourism.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2.

---

The Standing Social Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---







CA20N

X 4

-0 82



Government  
Publications

No. 94

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 3RD, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### THIRD READINGS

1. Bill 97, The Credit Unions and Caisses Populaires Act, 1976. *Mr. Handleman.* **REPRINTED.**
2. Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. McKeough.*
3. Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976. *Mr. McKeough.*
4. Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

6. Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974. *Mr. McKeough.*

### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

7. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.

8. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

9. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.*  
**PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
15. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.*  
**PRINTED.**
16. *Second Reading* Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
17. *Second Reading* Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act. *Mr. Meen.\** **PRINTED.**
18. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
19. *Second Reading* Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller (Muskoka).* **PRINTED.**
20. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

21. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Natural Resources.
  22. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Attorney General.
  23. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Labour.
  24. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Justice Policy.
  25. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Energy.
  26. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Resources Development Policy.
  27. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of Industry and Tourism.
  28. *Concurrence* in Supply for the Ministry of the Environment.
  29. *Concurrence* in Supplementary Supply for the Ministry of the Environment.
  30. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
  31. *House in Committee of Supply.*
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBERS’ NOTICES OF MOTION TABLED ON DECEMBER 2nd, 1976

*(Private Members’ Notices of Motion, other than for amendments to Government Orders, will be published on the Notice Paper each Monday.)*

17. *Mr. Drea*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Ontario Government should immediately undertake engineering studies of plumbing and other major structural deficiencies of all types of condominium projects constructed between 1968 and 1974; after which studies are completed the Ontario and federal governments work together in arranging workable and adequate financing arrangements to compensate for such deficiencies and to ensure building standards are consistent with provisions of the National Building Code and the Ontario Building Code.
  18. *Mr. Shore*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, all Municipalities and/or Transportation Commissions receiving financial assistance from the Province of Ontario for public transportation facilities or operations should provide reduced rates for use of said facilities to; Senior Citizens, full-time students at university, community college, high school, and all persons 17 years of age and under.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.



4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.

---

### QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.

41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected

with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.

96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.
97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case



when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure



on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—(i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each

class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.
145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which

are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable



reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being "acceptable". (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being "safe". (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated



by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the "fail-safe" system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.

162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Colleges and Universities respond to the recommendations contained in the Study of the Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Colleges of Applied Arts and Technology, August, 1976, conducted by Price Waterhouse Associates. Should such a response be forthcoming no later than next spring. In particular, will the Advisory Council establish specific objectives for the Bilingualism Grants Programme.
166. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Ministry of Colleges and Universities intend to meet the general objectives of those universities which are involved with French/English bilingual, bicultural programmes, as outlined in the Study of Incremental Costs of Bilingualism in Ontario Universities, July, 1976. In particular, will appropriate funding relating costs to objectives, be available to meet the following objectives: (a) the provision of educational opportunities to Franco-Ontarians in their own language; (b) the protection and development of French culture in Ontario; (c) the furtherance of

bilingualism and biculturalism in accordance with national aims; (d) the encouragement of students to learn English and French; (e) the encouragement of teaching staff to become bilingual; and (f) the increasing of public awareness as to the bilingual nature of the institutions.

167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).
171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research

Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.

172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.
176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the *Globe and Mail*, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make



Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.

180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.
186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labour's Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the



Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation".

187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an integrated forest complex in the Red Lake/Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.
193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings

directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.

194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of Environment Ontario Legacy; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:
1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved.
  2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers.
  3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York).

**SOCIAL DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.*

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---





CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



Government  
Publications

No. 95

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

MONDAY, DECEMBER 6TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY


ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS



### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*  
Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**  
Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**

4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act. *Mr. Meen.\** **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller* (Muskoka). **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
15. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the* Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
16. *House in Committee of Supply.*

---

#### PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS

17. *Second Reading* Bill 19, An Act to amend The Ontario Lottery Corporation Act, 1974. *Mr. Burr.* **PRINTED.**
18. *Second Reading* Bill 21, An Act to amend The School Boards and Teachers Collective Negotiations Act, 1975. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
19. *Second Reading* Bill 24, An Act relating to the Installation of Automatic Fire Extinguishing Systems in Buildings. *Mr. Deans.* **PRINTED.**

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

20. *Second Reading* Bill 28, An Act to amend The Education Act, 1974.  
*Mr. Grande. PRINTED.*
21. *Second Reading* Bill 29, An Act to establish The Automobile Insurance  
Rate Control Board. *Mr. Swart. PRINTED.*
22. *Second Reading* Bill 30, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Haggerty. PRINTED.*
23. *Second Reading* Bill 31, An Act to relieve Persons from Liability in  
respect of voluntary Emergency Medical and First Aid Services.  
*Mr. Haggerty. PRINTED.*
24. *Second Reading* Bill 32, An Act to provide for the Establishment of  
Safety Committees. *Mr. Haggerty. PRINTED.*
25. *Second Reading* Bill 35, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. Bull-*  
*brook. PRINTED.*
26. *Second Reading* Bill 36, An Act to establish a Medical Data Bank.  
*Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville). PRINTED.*
27. *Second Reading* Bill 40, An Act to amend The Athletics Control Act.  
*Mr. Grossman. PRINTED.*
28. *Second Reading* Bill 42, An Act to amend The Election Finances  
Reform Act, 1975. *Mr. Johnson (Wellington-Dufferin-Peel). PRINTED.*
29. *Second Reading* Bill 49, An Act to amend The Health Insurance  
Act, 1972. *Mr. Leluk. PRINTED.*
30. *Second Reading* Bill 50, An Act to amend The Religious Institutions  
Act. *Mr. Leluk. PRINTED.*
31. *Second Reading* Bill 53, An Act to provide Assistance to Elderly  
Tenants. *Mr. Renwick. PRINTED.*
32. *Second Reading* Bill 61, An Act to amend The Consumer Reporting Act,  
1973. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River). PRINTED.*
33. *Second Reading* Bill 63, An Act to provide for Individualized Price  
Marking on Products. *Mr. MacDonald. PRINTED.*
34. *Second Reading* Bill 65, An Act to provide for the Appointment of a  
Labour Ombudsman. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River). PRINTED.*
35. *Second Reading* Bill 66, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights  
Code. *Mr. Leluk. PRINTED.*

36. *Second Reading* Bill 67, An Act to establish The Ontario Bill of Rights. *Mr. Roy*. **PRINTED.**
37. *Second Reading* Bill 69, An Act to amend The Municipal Elections Act. *Mr. Samis*. **PRINTED.**
38. *Second Reading* Bill 70, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Evans*. **PRINTED.**
39. *Second Reading* Bill 71, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Lewis*. **PRINTED.**
40. *Second Reading* Bill 74, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Driver Training Schools. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
41. *Second Reading* Bill 79, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Bounsall*. **PRINTED.**
42. *Second Reading* Bill 80, An Act to amend The Child Welfare Act. *Mr. Leluk*. **PRINTED.**
43. *Second Reading* Bill 83, An Act to amend The Family Benefits Act. *Mr. Martel*. **PRINTED.**
44. *Second Reading* Bill 86, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972. *Mr. Ziembra*. **PRINTED.**
45. *Second Reading* Bill 88, An Act to amend The Mental Health Act, 1974. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East). **PRINTED.**
46. *Second Reading* Bill 92, An Act for the Promotion and Protection of the Health and Safety of Persons engaged in Occupations. *Mr. Martel*. **PRINTED.**
47. *Second Reading* Bill 93, An Act to prohibit the Sale of Handcuffs. *Mr. Grossman*. **PRINTED.**
48. *Second Reading* Bill 103, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Bounsall*. **PRINTED.**
49. *Second Reading* Bill 107, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bounsall*. **PRINTED.**
50. *Second Reading* Bill 111, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook*. **PRINTED.**
51. *Second Reading* Bill 112, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook*. **PRINTED.**
52. *Second Reading* Bill 113, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook*. **PRINTED.**



53. *Second Reading* Bill 114, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
54. *Second Reading* Bill 115, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
55. *Second Reading* Bill 116, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
56. *Second Reading* Bill 117, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
57. *Second Reading* Bill 118, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
58. *Second Reading* Bill 119, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
59. *Second Reading* Bill 120, An Act to amend The Retail Business Holidays Act, 1975 (2nd Session). *Mr. Shore. PRINTED.*
60. *Second Reading* Bill 121, An Act to control Professional Fund-raising Corporations. *Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville). PRINTED.*
61. *Second Reading* Bill 124, An Act to amend The Public Officers Act.  
*Mr. Martel. PRINTED.*
62. *Second Reading* Bill 125, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville). PRINTED.*
63. *Second Reading* Bill 126, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Smoking in Public Places. *Mr. Cassidy. PRINTED.*
64. *Second Reading* Bill 128, An Act respecting Special Educational Programs.  
*Mr. Foulds. PRINTED.*
65. *Second Reading* Bill 129, An Act to provide Political Rights for Public Servants. *Mr. Cassidy. PRINTED.*
66. *Second Reading* Bill 143, An Act respecting Toxic and Hazardous Substances. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*
67. *Second Reading* Bill 144, An Act respecting the Collection of Occupational Health Data. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*
68. *Second Reading* Bill 145, An Act respecting the Ensuring of Rights of Employees to refuse to Perform Work that is Dangerous to their Health or Safety. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*
69. *Second Reading* Bill 146, An Act respecting Health and Safety Committees.  
*Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*

70. *Second Reading* Bill 147, An Act respecting the Establishment of Worker-Inspectors in the Work Place. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
71. *Second Reading* Bill 148, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Breithaupt.* **PRINTED.**
72. *Second Reading* Bill 157, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. Cunningham.* **PRINTED.**
73. *Second Reading* Bill 158, The Uniform Time Act, 1976. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
74. *Second Reading* Bill 159, An Act respecting the Advertising and Selling of Perishable Staples. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
75. *Second Reading* Bill 160, An Act to establish the Ontario Waste Disposal and Reclamation Commission. *Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville).* **PRINTED.**
76. *Second Reading* Bill 162, An Act to provide for the Designation and Retention of Foodlands. *Mr. McCague.* **PRINTED.**
77. *Second Reading* Bill 163, An Act to amend The Construction Safety Act, 1973. *Mr. Di Santo.* **PRINTED.**
78. *Second Reading* Bill 164, An Act to amend The Election Finances Reform Act, 1975. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
79. *Second Reading* Bill 165, An Act respecting Election Public Opinion Polls and Surveys. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
80. *Second Reading* Bill 166, An Act to register Condominium Property Management Firms. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
81. *Second Reading* Bill 167, An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act, 1975. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
82. *Second Reading* Bill 172, An Act respecting Municipal Elections Finances Reform. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
83. *Second Reading* Bill 173, An Act respecting Municipal Candidates. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
84. *Second Reading* Bill 174, An Act respecting the Establishment of Ward Boundaries. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
85. *Second Reading* Bill 175, An Act to amend The Farm Products Grades and Sales Act. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
86. *Second Reading* Bill 177, An Act to amend The Development Corporations Act, 1973. *Mr. Mackenzie.*

87. *Second Reading* Bill 178, An Act to amend The Workmen's Compensation Act. *Mr. Bain.* **PRINTED.**
88. *Second Reading* Bill 179, An Act respecting Social Referral Services. *Mr. Cunningham.*
89. *Second Reading* Bill 180, An Act to amend The Workmen's Compensation Act. *Mr. Di Santo.*
90. *Second Reading* Bill 181, An Act to amend The Pension Benefits Act. *Mr. Di Santo.*
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICES OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to "Trends and Options" and other documents related to Ontario's future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

9. *Mr. Welch*—That this House consider the interim report of the Select Committee on Highway Transportation of Goods (*Sessional Paper No. 114*) tabled on October 28th, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBERS' NOTICES OF MOTION

1. *Withdrawn.*
8. *Mr. Burr*—Resolution—That, in the opinion of this House, the government should provide funds, similar to those that have already been granted for snowmobiling trails and maintenance, to municipalities or the Ontario Trails Council to carry out similar projects for bicycling.
10. *Mr. Foulds*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, Private Members' Public Bills and Private Members' Resolutions, after having been called for Second Reading, must be brought to a vote after debate on Second Reading, and disposed of in the same way as Government Bills.
11. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Province of Ontario should provide and erect historical markers for the birthplaces of all Lieutenant-Governors and Premiers of Ontario.
14. *Mr. Davison* (Hamilton Centre)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Government should introduce legislation to provide rent control for small business commercial and industrial premises.
15. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—Resolution—That a Select Committee of the Legislature be appointed to examine the forest industry in Ontario with particular regard to the tract of land in northwestern Ontario being discussed with the Reed Paper Company, taking into account the impact upon native peoples, the environmental impact, reforestation



problems, the economic effect of the decision generally, and the present system of timber licenses and the procedure for the awarding of such licences in the Province of Ontario; and that the Select Committee have authority to sit during recesses and the interval between sessions, and to travel throughout the Province to hold hearings as it deems necessary, and have full power and authority to employ counsel and other such personnel as may be deemed advisable and to hold meetings and hearings in such places as the Committee may deem advisable and to call for persons, papers and things and to examine witnesses under oath, and the Assembly doth command and compel attendance before the said Select Committee of such persons and the production of such papers and things as the Committee may deem necessary for any of its proceedings and deliberations, for which the Honourable the Speaker may issue his warrant or warrants.

16. *Ms. Gigantes*—Resolution—That in the opinion of the House, the government should move to relieve the double tax that condominium property owners now bear by giving condominiums the power to negotiate tax cuts or rebates with their municipality, to compensate for services like garbage removal, snow clearance, which they provide for themselves and until tax reform is in place, the province should bear the cost of these rebates.
18. *Mr. Drea*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Ontario Government should immediately undertake engineering studies of plumbing and other major structural deficiencies of all types of condominium projects constructed between 1968 and 1974; after which studies are completed the Ontario and federal governments work together in arranging workable and adequate financing arrangements to compensate for such deficiencies and to ensure building standards are consistent with provisions of the National Building Code and the Ontario Building Code.
19. *Mr. Shore*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, all Municipalities and/or Transportation Commissions receiving financial assistance from the Province of Ontario for public transportation facilities or operations should provide reduced rates for use of said facilities to; Senior Citizens, full-time students at university, community college, high school, and all persons 17 years of age and under.

---

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.

3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.

38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected



with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.

96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.
97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case



when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure

on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—(i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncosted, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each

class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.
145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which



are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
154. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Ministry indicate the total amount of Accounts Payable as of March 31st, 1976, that was applied to the budget of the 1975/76 fiscal year, and the 1976/77 fiscal year.
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable



reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being "acceptable". (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being "safe". (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated

by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the "fail-safe" system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.

162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
166. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.

169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).
171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and



a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.

176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the *Globe and Mail*, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.



182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.
186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled... the employee... entitled to compensation".
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the

fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.

189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an integrated forest complex in the Red Lake/Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.
193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.
194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of Environment Ontario Legacy; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:  
  1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved.
  2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers.
  3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the

amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York).

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 1 to consider the following Bill:—

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization.

---

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bills:—

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships.

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976.

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, December 8th at 10.00 a.m. at the offices of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Downsview.

---

The Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature will meet on Thursday, December 9th at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

---

### **BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 97, The Credit Unions and Caisses Populaires Act, 1976.

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976.

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act.

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act.

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---



CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



No. 96

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 7TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS


MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*
  - Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**
  - Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**



Government  
Publications

4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act. *Mr. Meen.\** **PRINTED.**
12. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
13. *Second Reading* Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller* (Muskoka). **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
15. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the* Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
16. *House in Committee of Supply.*

---

\*Lieutenant Governor's recommendation received.

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to "Trends and Options" and other documents related to Ontario's future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.

7. *Mr. Stong*—That an Order of the House do issue for production of all figures relating to the provincial assessment for 1976, and the projected provincial assessment as based on the proposed Reform of Property Taxes.
8. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—That an Order of the House do issue for the Pickering Safety Report, The Douglas Point Report of 1970, a complete list and detailed reports of all “significant” or “abnormal” events or accidents involving nuclear safety accidents in Hydro facilities since 1970 as well as the results of radiation monitoring testing done at Hydro Installations since 1970, showing excess levels of contamination.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister’s Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.



41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected

with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.

96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.
97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case

when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure



on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—(i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each



class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.
145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which

are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
154. *Answered December 6th, 1976.*
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately

to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how much has been spent to date under the Wintario Program on sports and recreation equipment. Would he provide a list of the equipment purchased and the average cost of each different item. Would he list the manufacturers and/or distributors from whom the equipment was purchased and also indicate the manner in which contracts for the equipment were awarded.
158. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation advise how many complaints his ministry has received about soccer balls supplied to clubs under the Wintario Program. What has the average cost per ball been. What companies were these balls purchased from. Is there any truth in the statement that many of the balls supplied to soccer clubs have not been of regulation size.
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being "acceptable". (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being "safe". (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated



by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the "fail-safe" system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.

162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
166. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.



169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).
171. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Ministry of the Environment plan, in the immediate future, to prevent the utilization of chlorofluorocarbons in aerosol cans, the drastic curtailment of non-essential use having been recommended by The National Research Council. Does the Ministry plan to phase out the use of halocarbons in refrigerating units.
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and

a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.

176. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Treasury, Economics and Intergovernmental Affairs advise the house whether or not he is concerned by the findings of a survey reported in the *Globe and Mail*, November 17, 1976 which states that the attitude of incumbent members of councils, school boards and public utilities toward disclosing their assets and campaign donors to municipal clerks “ranged from reluctant agreement to belligerent refusal”. Would the Minister comment on a statement by Mayor Dennis Flynn reported in the same article, “There was no law that required disclosure of campaign expenses” and donors and that, “he will file a statement when the Ontario Government says municipal candidates must do so. Is the Minister prepared to introduce legislation before the next municipal election requiring disclosure by candidates of campaign donors and/or assets.
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.

182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.
186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation".
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the



fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.

189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an integrated forest complex in the Red Lake/Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.
193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.
194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of Environment Ontario Legacy; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:  
1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved. 2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers. 3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the



amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York).

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Question Period, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bills:—

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships.

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976.

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons.

---

The Standing Miscellaneous Estimates Committee will meet today, at 8.00 p.m. in Committee Room No. 1 to consider Supplementary Estimates for the Office of Provincial Auditor, Office of the Ombudsman and Office of the Assembly, and thereafter as required.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, December 8th at 10.00 a.m. at the offices of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Downsview.

---

The Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature will meet on Thursday, December 9th at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 9th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

### **BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 97, The Credit Unions and Caisses Populaires Act, 1976.

Bill 99, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 130, An Act to amend The Planning Act.

Bill 133, An Act to amend The Assessment Act.

Bill 136, The Corporations Information Act, 1976.

Bill 137, An Act to amend The Business Corporations Act.

Bill 138, An Act to amend The Corporations Act.

Bill 149, An Act to amend The Municipal Act.

Bill 150, The Regional Municipalities Amendment Act, 1976.

Bill 151, An Act to amend The District Municipality of Muskoka Act.

Bill 152, An Act to amend The Municipality of Metropolitan Toronto Act.

Bill 153, An Act to amend The County of Oxford Act, 1974.

Bill 154, An Act respecting The Municipality of Shuniah.

Bill 155, An Act to amend The Insurance Act.

Bill 156, An Act to amend The Motor Vehicle Accident Claims Act.

---







CA20N  
X4  
-0 82



Government  
Publications

No. 97

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 8TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### COMMITTEE


The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. at the offices of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Downsview.

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, at 10.30 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bills:—

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships.

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976.

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons.



# NOTICE PAPER

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature will meet on Thursday, December 9th at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 9th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act.

---

CA20N

X 4

-0 82



Comm  
Printed

No. 98

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 9TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS


REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS



1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York). **PRINTED.**

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller (Muskoka).*  
**PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
  4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
  5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.*  
**PRINTED.**
  6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
  7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
  8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
  9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.*  
**PRINTED.**
  10. *Second Reading* Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
  11. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
  12. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the* Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-



# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.

7. *Mr. Stong*—That an Order of the House do issue for production of all figures relating to the provincial assessment for 1976, and the projected provincial assessment as based on the proposed Reform of Property Taxes.
8. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—That an Order of the House do issue for the Pickering Safety Report, The Douglas Point Report of 1970, a complete list and detailed reports of all “significant” or “abnormal” events or accidents involving nuclear safety accidents in Hydro facilities since 1970 as well as the results of radiation monitoring testing done at Hydro Installations since 1970, showing excess levels of contamination.

---

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.

41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected

with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.

96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.
97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case



when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure

on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—(i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each

class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.
145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which



are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
154. *Answered December 6th, 1976.*
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately



to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
158. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the “stumpage” fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than “natural” regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.

162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
166. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the

Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.
176. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.

179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.



186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that “claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling” would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen’s Compensation Act which says in part “Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation”.
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen’s Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen’s Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen’s Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen’s Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the “cause” of death.
189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an integrated forest complex in the Red Lake/Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.
193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning

and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.

194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of Environment Ontario Legacy; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:  
 1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved.  
 2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers.  
 3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.

---

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

### **COMMITTEE NOTICES**

The Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Room No. 228.

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

### **BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act.

---









CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



No. 99

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

FRIDAY, DECEMBER 10TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY


### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York). **PRINTED.**

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**



Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**

Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller* (Muskoka). **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
  4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**
  5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller*. **PRINTED.**
  6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell*. **PRINTED.**
  7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
  8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth*. **PRINTED.**
  9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
  10. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson*. **PRINTED.**
  11. *Concurrence* in Supplementary Supply for the Office of the Assembly.
  12. *Concurrence* in Supplementary Supply for the Office of the Ombudsman.
  13. *Concurrence* in Supplementary Supply for the Office of Provincial Auditor.
  14. *Resuming the adjourned debate* on the motion for adoption of the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-



# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.

7. *Mr. Stong*—That an Order of the House do issue for production of all figures relating to the provincial assessment for 1976, and the projected provincial assessment as based on the proposed Reform of Property Taxes.
8. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—That an Order of the House do issue for the Pickering Safety Report, The Douglas Point Report of 1970, a complete list and detailed reports of all “significant” or “abnormal” events or accidents involving nuclear safety accidents in Hydro facilities since 1970 as well as the results of radiation monitoring testing done at Hydro Installations since 1970, showing excess levels of contamination.

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.

41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected



with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.

96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.
97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case



when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications explain: 1. the nature of the work being carried on by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation for the Swiss government. 2. the length of time the UTDC has been working on the project and its probable duration.
128. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the number of people employed by the Urban Transportation Development Corporation who travel on a regular basis from Toronto to Switzerland and back. 2. the number of non-stop flights and flights which entail stop-overs taken by these people in travelling to and from Switzerland. 3. the names of the air carriers used in these flights.
129. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications supply: 1. the names of the carriers transporting air freight to Switzerland for the Urban Transportation Development Corporation, 2. the proportion of total freight shipped to Switzerland by the UTDC which is carried by air freight, 3. the cost of transporting air freight to Switzerland for the UTDC.
130. *Mr. Wildman*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry explain whether or not employees of the Ontario Government and Crown Corporations are required to use Canadian air carriers whenever and wherever possible rather than competing foreign carriers.
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure

on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—(i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each

class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, “is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations”. Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.
145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which



are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.

154. *Answered December 6th, 1976.*

155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately



to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
158. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the “stumpage” fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than “natural” regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.

162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
166. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the

Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*

172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.

173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*

174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.

175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.

176. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*

177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.

178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.

179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.



186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that “claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling” would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen’s Compensation Act which says in part “Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation”.
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen’s Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen’s Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen’s Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen’s Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the “cause” of death.
189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an integrated forest complex in the Red Lake/Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.
193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning

and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.

194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of Environment Ontario Legacy; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:
1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved.
  2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers.
  3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.
196. *Ms. Gigantes*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy table in the Legislature the daily operating reports of Ontario Hydro for the last two weeks, the daily generation of power at each station and diesel generator in the system, the daily export sales and outside purchases by Hydro and the record of cuts in interruptible contracts for each day.

## BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES

### ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

**RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

**COMMITTEE NOTICES**

The Standing Administration of Justice Committee will meet today, following the Routine Proceedings, in Committee Room No. 2 to consider the following Bills:—

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships.

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976.

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Monday, December 13th at 11.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, December 15th at 10.00 a.m. at the offices of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Downsview.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act.

---









CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



Government  
Publications

No. 100

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

MONDAY, DECEMBER 13TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY


### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York). **PRINTED.**

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**



Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller (Muskoka).*  
**PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.*  
**PRINTED.**
6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.*  
**PRINTED.**
10. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
11. *Second Reading* Bill 187, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. McKeough.*
12. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the* Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.

---

#### PRIVATE MEMBERS' PUBLIC BILLS AND ORDERS

13. *Second Reading* Bill 19, An Act to amend The Ontario Lottery Corporation Act, 1974. *Mr. Burr.* **PRINTED.**
14. *Second Reading* Bill 21, An Act to amend The School Boards and Teachers Collective Negotiations Act, 1975. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
15. *Second Reading* Bill 24, An Act relating to the Installation of Automatic Fire Extinguishing Systems in Buildings. *Mr. Deans.* **PRINTED.**



16. *Second Reading* Bill 28, An Act to amend The Education Act, 1974.  
*Mr. Grande.* **PRINTED.**
17. *Second Reading* Bill 29, An Act to establish The Automobile Insurance  
Rate Control Board. *Mr. Swart.* **PRINTED.**
18. *Second Reading* Bill 30, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
19. *Second Reading* Bill 31, An Act to relieve Persons from Liability in  
respect of voluntary Emergency Medical and First Aid Services.  
*Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
20. *Second Reading* Bill 32, An Act to provide for the Establishment of  
Safety Committees. *Mr. Haggerty.* **PRINTED.**
21. *Second Reading* Bill 35, An Act to amend The Municipal Act. *Mr. Bull-*  
*brook.* **PRINTED.**
22. *Second Reading* Bill 36, An Act to establish a Medical Data Bank.  
*Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
23. *Second Reading* Bill 40, An Act to amend The Athletics Control Act.  
*Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
24. *Second Reading* Bill 42, An Act to amend The Election Finances  
Reform Act, 1975. *Mr. Johnson* (Wellington-Dufferin-Peel). **PRINTED.**
25. *Second Reading* Bill 49, An Act to amend The Health Insurance  
Act, 1972. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
26. *Second Reading* Bill 50, An Act to amend The Religious Institutions  
Act. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
27. *Second Reading* Bill 53, An Act to provide Assistance to Elderly  
Tenants. *Mr. Renwick.* **PRINTED.**
28. *Second Reading* Bill 61, An Act to amend The Consumer Reporting Act,  
1973. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
29. *Second Reading* Bill 63, An Act to provide for Individualized Price  
Marking on Products. *Mr. MacDonald.* **PRINTED.**
30. *Second Reading* Bill 65, An Act to provide for the Appointment of a  
Labour Ombudsman. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River). **PRINTED.**
31. *Second Reading* Bill 66, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights  
Code. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**

32. *Second Reading* Bill 67, An Act to establish The Ontario Bill of Rights. *Mr. Roy.* **PRINTED.**
33. *Second Reading* Bill 69, An Act to amend The Municipal Elections Act. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
34. *Second Reading* Bill 70, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Evans.* **PRINTED.**
35. *Second Reading* Bill 71, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
36. *Second Reading* Bill 74, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Driver Training Schools. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River).* **PRINTED.**
37. *Second Reading* Bill 79, An Act to amend The Ontario Human Rights Code. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
38. *Second Reading* Bill 80, An Act to amend The Child Welfare Act. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
39. *Second Reading* Bill 83, An Act to amend The Family Benefits Act. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**
40. *Second Reading* Bill 86, An Act to amend The Health Insurance Act, 1972. *Mr. Ziembra.* **PRINTED.**
41. *Second Reading* Bill 88, An Act to amend The Mental Health Act, 1974. *Mr. Smith (Simcoe East).* **PRINTED.**
42. *Second Reading* Bill 92, An Act for the Promotion and Protection of the Health and Safety of Persons engaged in Occupations. *Mr. Martel.* **PRINTED.**
43. *Second Reading* Bill 93, An Act to prohibit the Sale of Handcuffs. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
44. *Second Reading* Bill 103, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
45. *Second Reading* Bill 107, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bounsall.* **PRINTED.**
46. *Second Reading* Bill 111, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
47. *Second Reading* Bill 112, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**
48. *Second Reading* Bill 113, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Mr. Bullbrook.* **PRINTED.**

49. *Second Reading* Bill 114, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
50. *Second Reading* Bill 115, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
51. *Second Reading* Bill 116, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
52. *Second Reading* Bill 117, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
53. *Second Reading* Bill 118, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
54. *Second Reading* Bill 119, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Bullbrook. PRINTED.*
55. *Second Reading* Bill 120, An Act to amend The Retail Business Holidays Act, 1975 (2nd Session). *Mr. Shore. PRINTED.*
56. *Second Reading* Bill 121, An Act to control Professional Fund-raising Corporations. *Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville). PRINTED.*
57. *Second Reading* Bill 124, An Act to amend The Public Officers Act.  
*Mr. Martel. PRINTED.*
58. *Second Reading* Bill 125, An Act to amend The Employment Standards Act, 1974. *Mr. Newman (Windsor-Walkerville). PRINTED.*
59. *Second Reading* Bill 126, An Act to provide for the Regulation of Smoking in Public Places. *Mr. Cassidy. PRINTED.*
60. *Second Reading* Bill 128, An Act respecting Special Educational Programs.  
*Mr. Foulds. PRINTED.*
61. *Second Reading* Bill 129, An Act to provide Political Rights for Public Servants. *Mr. Cassidy. PRINTED.*
62. *Second Reading* Bill 143, An Act respecting Toxic and Hazardous Substances. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*
63. *Second Reading* Bill 144, An Act respecting the Collection of Occupational Health Data. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*
64. *Second Reading* Bill 145, An Act respecting the Ensuring of Rights of Employees to refuse to Perform Work that is Dangerous to their Health or Safety. *Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*
65. *Second Reading* Bill 146, An Act respecting Health and Safety Committees.  
*Mr. Lewis. PRINTED.*

66. *Second Reading* Bill 147, An Act respecting the Establishment of Worker-Inspectors in the Work Place. *Mr. Lewis.* **PRINTED.**
67. *Second Reading* Bill 148, An Act to amend The Highway Traffic Act. *Mr. Breithaupt.* **PRINTED.**
68. *Second Reading* Bill 157, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. Cunningham.* **PRINTED.**
69. *Second Reading* Bill 158, The Uniform Time Act, 1976. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
70. *Second Reading* Bill 159, An Act respecting the Advertising and Selling of Perishable Staples. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
71. *Second Reading* Bill 160, An Act to establish the Ontario Waste Disposal and Reclamation Commission. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor-Walkerville). **PRINTED.**
72. *Second Reading* Bill 162, An Act to provide for the Designation and Retention of Foodlands. *Mr. McCague.* **PRINTED.**
73. *Second Reading* Bill 163, An Act to amend The Construction Safety Act, 1973. *Mr. Di Santo.* **PRINTED.**
74. *Second Reading* Bill 164, An Act to amend The Election Finances Reform Act, 1975. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
75. *Second Reading* Bill 165, An Act respecting Election Public Opinion Polls and Surveys. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
76. *Second Reading* Bill 166, An Act to register Condominium Property Management Firms. *Mr. Leluk.* **PRINTED.**
77. *Second Reading* Bill 167, An Act to amend The Liquor Control Act, 1975. *Mr. Samis.* **PRINTED.**
78. *Second Reading* Bill 172, An Act respecting Municipal Elections Finances Reform. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
79. *Second Reading* Bill 173, An Act respecting Municipal Candidates. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
80. *Second Reading* Bill 174, An Act respecting the Establishment of Ward Boundaries. *Mr. Cassidy.* **PRINTED.**
81. *Second Reading* Bill 175, An Act to amend The Farm Products Grades and Sales Act. *Mr. Grossman.* **PRINTED.**
82. *Second Reading* Bill 177, An Act to amend The Development Corporations Act, 1973. *Mr. Mackenzie.* **PRINTED.**



83. *Second Reading* Bill 179, An Act respecting Social Referral Services.  
*Mr. Cunningham. PRINTED.*
  84. *Second Reading* Bill 180, An Act to amend The Workmen's Compensation Act. *Mr. Di Santo. PRINTED.*
  85. *Second Reading* Bill 181, An Act to amend The Pension Benefits Act.  
*Mr. Di Santo. PRINTED.*
  86. *Second Reading* Bill 182, An Act to amend the Workmen's Compensation Act. *Mr. Lupusella. PRINTED.*
  87. *Second Reading* Bill 183, An Act to amend The Municipal Elections Act, 1972. *Mr. Swart. PRINTED.*
  88. *Second Reading* Bill 184, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act.  
*Mr. Stong. PRINTED.*
  89. *Second Reading* Bill 185, An Act to amend The Election Act. *Mr. Stong. PRINTED.*
  90. *Second Reading* Bill 186, An Act respecting Consumer Information.  
*Mr. Cunningham. PRINTED.*
  91. *Second Reading* Bill 188, An Act to ban the Use of Chlorofluorocarbons in Aerosol Spray Cans. *Mr. Bain.*
-

# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## PRIVATE MEMBERS’ NOTICES OF MOTION

1. *Withdrawn.*
8. *Mr. Burr*—Resolution—That, in the opinion of this House, the government should provide funds, similar to those that have already been granted for snowmobiling trails and maintenance, to municipalities or the Ontario Trails Council to carry out similar projects for bicycling.
10. *Mr. Foulds*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, Private Members’ Public Bills and Private Members’ Resolutions, after having been called for Second Reading, must be brought to a vote after debate on Second Reading, and disposed of in the same way as Government Bills.
11. *Mr. Smith* (Simcoe East)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Province of Ontario should provide and erect historical markers for the birthplaces of all Lieutenant-Governors and Premiers of Ontario.
14. *Mr. Davison* (Hamilton Centre)—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Government should introduce legislation to provide rent control for small business commercial and industrial premises.
15. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—Resolution—That a Select Committee of the Legislature be appointed to examine the forest industry in Ontario with particular regard to the tract of land in northwestern Ontario being discussed with the Reed Paper Company, taking into account the impact upon native peoples, the environmental impact, reforestation problems, the economic effect of the decision generally, and the present system of timber licenses and the procedure for the awarding of such licences in the Province of Ontario; and that the Select Committee have authority to sit during recesses and the interval between sessions, and to travel throughout the Province to hold hearings as it deems necessary, and have full power and authority to employ counsel and other such personnel as may be deemed advisable and to hold meetings and hearings in such places as the Committee may deem advisable and to call for persons, papers and things and to examine witnesses under oath, and the Assembly doth command and compel attendance

before the said Select Committee of such persons and the production of such papers and things as the Committee may deem necessary for any of its proceedings and deliberations, for which the Honourable the Speaker may issue his warrant or warrants.

16. *Ms. Gigantes*—Resolution—That in the opinion of the House, the government should move to relieve the double tax that condominium property owners now bear by giving condominiums the power to negotiate tax cuts or rebates with their municipality, to compensate for services like garbage removal, snow clearance, which they provide for themselves and until tax reform is in place, the province should bear the cost of these rebates.
18. *Mr. Drea*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House the Ontario Government should immediately undertake engineering studies of plumbing and other major structural deficiencies of all types of condominium projects constructed between 1968 and 1974; after which studies are completed the Ontario and federal governments work together in arranging workable and adequate financing arrangements to compensate for such deficiencies and to ensure building standards are consistent with provisions of the National Building Code and the Ontario Building Code.
19. *Mr. Shore*—Resolution—That in the opinion of this House, all Municipalities and/or Transportation Commissions receiving financial assistance from the Province of Ontario for public transportation facilities or operations should provide reduced rates for use of said facilities to; Senior Citizens, full-time students at university, community college, high school, and all persons 17 years of age and under.

---

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.

5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.
7. *Mr. Stong*—That an Order of the House do issue for production of all figures relating to the provincial assessment for 1976, and the projected provincial assessment as based on the proposed Reform of Property Taxes.
8. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—That an Order of the House do issue for the Pickering Safety Report, The Douglas Point Report of 1970, a complete list and detailed reports of all “significant” or “abnormal” events or accidents involving nuclear safety accidents in Hydro facilities since 1970 as well as the results of radiation monitoring testing done at Hydro Installations since 1970, showing excess levels of contamination.

---

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market



value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.

38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.

79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.
97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the

people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.

125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.
127. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
128. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
129. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
130. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
140. *Mr. Duksza*—Enquiry of the Ministry—I. Inasmuch as OHIP does not require a perfect one-to-one match between subscriber numbers when validating claims, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP has not paid for:—(i) A female sex-specific operation in a male. (ii) A male sex-specific operation in a female. (iii) Two appendectomies for the same patient. (iv) A total hysterectomy for the same patient more than once. Can the Minister in fact assure the House that the OHIP subscriber files have been purged of duplicates. II. Inasmuch as OHIP allows physicians to submit multiple claims on the same form, can the Minister assure the House that OHIP:—(i) Maintains records of the number of physician claims by physician by day, in an effort to assess the reasonableness of such claims. (ii) Compares ICDA codes for services provided by physicians in an effort to detect multiple billings for the same diagnostic procedure on the same day. (iii) Does not approve payments for periodic services (total physical examinations, etc.) more frequently than the



OHIP guidelines allow. III. Can the Minister assure the House that the costs of micro-filming OHIP claims were less than the costs would be of storing them, fully coded, on magnetic tape or disc. IV. What were the costs, in the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, of:—(i) Printing and pre-numbering physicians' claim cards. (ii) Key editing claims on the Mohawk key-to-tape 132 stations or similar equipment. (iii) Any other key punching or editing services. (iv) Microfilming of claims. (v) Mailing of claim forms and remittance advices to physicians. (vi) The operation of OHIP district offices per capita for the subscriber population served for each relevant year. (vii) Printing and distributing Medical Secretary's Handbooks, and Physician and Hospital Indexes. (viii) The coding of ICDA codes. In this connection what were the error rates associated with:—(i) The coding of ICDA information (were these codings cross-validated). (ii) The key-editing of claim information (were these codings cross-validated). V. For each of the following classes of computer processing, what were the total costs of (1) computer programming; (2) CPU time; (3) I/O waiting time; (4) computer printing or card-punching; or (5) correct times, during the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975:—(i) Processing of claims to files. (ii) On-line storage of data sets. (iii) Compiling new programs. (iv) Modifying existing programs. (v) Compiling existing programs. (vi) Debugging existing programs. (vii) Retrieval of information from existing files. What were the costs of rental or purchase of any data processing equipment (i.e. key editors, IBM 2741 terminals, CRT, etc.) by machine type and number of machines. Where a service is uncoded, what were the gross utilization statistics by class of service in kB hours or other similar standard units. VI. What were the rates of rejection of claims per 10,000 claims for the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975. What is the predicted rate for 1976 and 1977. On what is this estimate based. VII. In the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what funds were recovered by OHIP through:—(i) Computerised cross-checking of claims. (ii) Manual assessment procedures. (iii) Specialised studies of specific practitioner practices. (iv) Other means. VIII. For each of the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what was:—(i) the range of total payments under the Plan to each class of practitioners (i.e. family practitioners, surgeons, paediatricians, internists, neurologists, psychiatrists, dermatologists, anaesthetists, orthopaedic surgeons, plastic surgeons, neurological surgeons, obstetricians and gynaecologists, urological surgeons, ophthalmologists, otolaryngologists, pathologists, radiologists, medical geneticists, optometrists, and chiropractors). (ii) What was the arithmetical mean. (iii) What was the standard deviation. (iv) What was the mode and the median. (v) What proportion of these payments fall into intervals of less than \$10,000; \$10,000 to \$19,999; \$20,000 to \$29,999; \$30,000 to \$39,999; \$40,000 to \$49,999; \$50,000 to \$59,999; \$60,000 to \$69,999; \$70,000 to \$79,999; \$80,000 to \$89,999; \$90,000 to \$99,999; \$100,000 to \$199,999; \$200,000 to \$299,999; and \$300,000 or more. (vi) What was the Gini Index for payments to each class of practitioner, and for all practitioners. (vii) What was the mean, mode, median and standard deviation for payments to each class of practitioner, for (a) those in solo practice; (b) those in non-clinic group practice; (c) those in clinics; and (d) those associated



with teaching hospitals. What were these figures for those in urban and those in rural areas. IX. For each class of practitioner for each year, what was (a) the number practising under the Plan; and (b) the total amount paid out by age, sex and number of years since, firstly, they began practising medicine, and secondly, since they began practising medicine in Ontario. X. For each class of practitioner, for each year, what proportion of all such practitioners in Ontario are practising under the Plan. For each of these, what proportion of their total income is, or was, paid through the Plan. XI. For the calendar years 1971, 1972, 1973, 1974 and 1975, what were the total number of claims by ICDA and the type of service provided. How did these break down by classes of practitioner. XII. For each line item of the OHIP Estimate (1976077), what proportion of the estimated cost is ascribable to preventive medicine or public health measures, and what proportion to diagnosis and treatment of disease. How do these proportions compare to those actually incurred in all previous budget years, beginning with 1971. XIII. What proportion of its total projected budget does OHIP anticipate spending on research.

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Is the Minister of the Environment aware of the recent response (October 19th, 1976) made by the Honourable Romeo LeBlanc, Federal Minister of the Environment, concerning federal action in the area of fluorocarbons and their effect on the environment. Further, is the Minister aware that in that statement Mr. LeBlanc suggested that the problem, "is one which can only be attacked in a global way since it is a global problem. [it] is right to tie it to co-operation between not only the provinces and the federal government but between nations". Has the Minister met with or had discussions with Federal Authorities pursuant to this statement or to the problem of fluorocarbon contamination of the environment.
145. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of the Environment intend to introduce legislation in Ontario to ban the use of fluorocarbons in aerosol products. If so, when.
146. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Does the Minister of Consumer and Commercial Relations have information relating to the production and distribution of fluorocarbon-containing products in Ontario for the years of 1973, 1974 and 1975. In particular, would he provide the House with the following information for the afore-enumerated years; (i) the production of each major type of fluorocarbon by weight (F-11, F-12, F-22, F-113, F-114 and others); (ii) the companies which

are producing each type of fluorocarbon and the types which each are producing; (iii) the value/number of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Canada; and (iv) the percentage of aerosols being produced and/or sold in Ontario which contain fluorocarbons.

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
154. *Answered December 6th, 1976.*
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term “to provide system continuity” what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately

to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
158. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .
161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being "acceptable". (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being "safe". (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the "fail-safe" system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.

162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
166. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
167. *Mr. Ziembra*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.
169. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the



Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).

171. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*

172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.

173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*

174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.

175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.

176. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*

177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.

178. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Would the Provincial Treasurer please provide the total cost to date for the implementation of the Parkway Belt. 2. What monies have been allocated for acquisition of lands for the next two years.

179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent disability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.

186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that “claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling” would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen’s Compensation Act which says in part “Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation”.
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen’s Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen’s Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen’s Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen’s Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the “cause” of death.
189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an integrated forest complex in the Red Lake/Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.
193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning



and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.

194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of *Environment Ontario Legacy*; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:
  1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved.
  2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers.
  3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.
196. *Ms. Gigantes*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy table in the Legislature the daily operating reports of Ontario Hydro for the last two weeks, the daily generation of power at each station and diesel generator in the system, the daily export sales and outside purchases by Hydro and the record of cuts in interruptible contracts for each day.
197. *Mr. Burr*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For the two provincial park campgrounds run by private operators in 1976—what were the expense and revenue statistics for the years 1971 to 1976.
198. *Mr. Breaugh*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Treasurer intend to handle those employees who were covered by the OMERS Supplementary Type 1 Plan where those employees under this plan received benefits equal to the amendments proposed to the OMERS benefits and where the contributions were paid for entirely by the employer.



**BILLS REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEES****ADMINISTRATION OF JUSTICE COMMITTEE**

Bill 85, An Act to reform the Law respecting Succession to the Estates of Deceased Persons. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 140, An Act to reform the Law respecting Property Rights and Support Obligations between Married Persons and in other Family Relationships. *Mr. McMurtry.*

Bill 141, The Marriage Act, 1976. *Mr. McMurtry.*

**RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

**COMMITTEE NOTICES**

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet today, at 11.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, December 15th at 10.00 a.m. at the offices of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Downsview.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act.

Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act.

---



CAZON  
X 4  
-0 82



No. 101

**The Legislative Assembly of  
the Province of Ontario**

**3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT**

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 14TH, 1976

**ORDER PAPER**

**ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS**

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

**ORDERS OF THE DAY**

**GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS**

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization. *Mr. Newman* (Durham York). **PRINTED.**

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller* (Muskoka). **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
  4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
  5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
  6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
  7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
  8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
  9. *Second Reading* Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
  10. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
  11. *Second Reading* Bill 187, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
  12. *Second Reading* Bill 189, An Act to establish the Unified Family Court. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
  13. *Second Reading* Bill 190, An Act to amend The Judicature Act. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
  14. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the* Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-



# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to "Trends and Options" and other documents related to Ontario's future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
- 

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.
7. *Mr. Stong*—That an Order of the House do issue for production of all figures relating to the provincial assessment for 1976, and the projected provincial assessment as based on the proposed Reform of Property Taxes.

8. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—That an Order of the House do issue for the Pickering Safety Report, The Douglas Point Report of 1970, a complete list and detailed reports of all “significant” or “abnormal” events or accidents involving nuclear safety accidents in Hydro facilities since 1970 as well as the results of radiation monitoring testing done at Hydro Installations since 1970, showing excess levels of contamination.

---

## QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister’s Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.

43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of



Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.
125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the



Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.

127. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
128. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
129. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
130. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
140. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
145. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
146. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
154. *Answered December 6th, 1976.*
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane

highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
158. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975: (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the

Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .

161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
166. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg

Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.

169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).
171. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.



176. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent dis-

ability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.

186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation".
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an

integrated forest complex in the Red Lake/Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.

193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.
194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of Environment Ontario Legacy; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:  
1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved. 2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers. 3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.
196. *Ms. Gigantes*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy table in the Legislature the daily operating reports of Ontario Hydro for the last two weeks, the daily generation of power at each station and diesel generator in the system, the daily export sales and outside purchases by Hydro and the record of cuts in interruptible contracts for each day.
197. *Mr. Burr*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For the two provincial park campgrounds run by private operators in 1976—what were the expense and revenue statistics for the years 1971 to 1976.
198. *Mr. Breaugh*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Treasurer intend to handle those employees who were covered by the OMERS Supplementary Type 1 Plan where those employees under this plan received benefits equal to the amendments proposed to the OMERS benefits and where the contributions were paid for entirely by the employer.

199. *Mr. Worton*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications provide the House with the following information regarding a film entitled *Sam the Safety Duck*—“*Bicycle Safety*” produced for the Ministry: 1. the total cost of producing the film, including the cost for prints. 2. the producer of the film. Was the film made by an agency of the Government, or was it contracted to a film company. 3. the budget from which the money for producing the film was taken. 4. the names of any other films now in production or in the planning stage. 5. the names of any educational or safety experts consulted by the producers of the film prior to making the film.
- 

## BILL REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEE

### RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

## COMMITTEE NOTICES

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 re Workmen's Compensation Board.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Transportation of Goods will meet on Wednesday, December 15th at 9.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Safety will meet on Wednesday, December 15th at 10.00 a.m. at the offices of the Ministry of Transportation and Communications, Downsview.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 16th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

## BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act.

Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act.

---







CA20N  
X 4  
-0 82



No. 102

Government  
Publications

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 15TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS



### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

1. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
2. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**

Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller (Muskoka).*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 187, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**

3. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
  4. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough.* **PRINTED.**
  5. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller.* **PRINTED.**
  6. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell.* **PRINTED.**
  7. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**
  8. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth.* **PRINTED.**
  9. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson.* **PRINTED.**
  10. *Second Reading* Bill 189, An Act to establish the Unified Family Court. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
  11. *Second Reading* Bill 190, An Act to amend The Judicature Act. *Mr. McMurtry.* **PRINTED.**
  12. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the* Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-



# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICE OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.

---

## NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director’s Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.
7. *Mr. Stong*—That an Order of the House do issue for production of all figures relating to the provincial assessment for 1976, and the projected provincial assessment as based on the proposed Reform of Property Taxes.
8. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—That an Order of the House do issue for the Pickering Safety Report, The Douglas Point Report of 1970, a complete list and detailed reports of all “significant” or “abnormal” events or accidents involving nuclear safety accidents in Hydro facilities since 1970 as well as the results of radiation monitoring testing done at Hydro Installations since 1970, showing excess levels of contamination.

9. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor Walkerville)—That an Order of the House do issue for a complete list of all firms having Ministers Orders issued against them by the Ministry of the Environment for excessive air pollution.
10. *Mr. Reed* (Halton Burlington)—That an Order of the House do issue for all background documents and correspondence exchanged between the Atomic Energy Control Board and Ontario Hydro regarding the Bruce Reactor Safety Report.

---

### QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.
41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.

43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.
96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of



Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.

97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.
125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the



Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.

127. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
128. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
129. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
130. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*
140. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.
144. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
145. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
146. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.
154. *Answered December 6th, 1976.*
155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane

highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.
157. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
158. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).
160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the

Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .

161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro's nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen's Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
166. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg

Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.

169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).
171. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.



176. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent dis-

ability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.

186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation".
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an

integrated forest complex in the Red Lake /Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.

193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.
194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of Environment Ontario Legacy; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:  
1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved. 2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers. 3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.
196. *Ms. Gigantes*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy table in the Legislature the daily operating reports of Ontario Hydro for the last two weeks, the daily generation of power at each station and diesel generator in the system, the daily export sales and outside purchases by Hydro and the record of cuts in interruptible contracts for each day.
197. *Mr. Burr*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For the two provincial park campgrounds run by private operators in 1976—what were the expense and revenue statistics for the years 1971 to 1976.
198. *Mr. Breaugh*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Treasurer intend to handle those employees who were covered by the OMERS Supplementary Type 1 Plan where those employees under this plan received benefits equal to the amendments proposed to the OMERS benefits and where the contributions were paid for entirely by the employer.



199. *Mr. Worton*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications provide the House with the following information regarding a film entitled *Sam the Safety Duck*—“*Bicycle Safety*” produced for the Ministry: 1. the total cost of producing the film, including the cost for prints. 2. the producer of the film. Was the film made by an agency of the Government, or was it contracted to a film company. 3. the budget from which the money for producing the film was taken. 4. the names of any other films now in production or in the planning stage. 5. the names of any educational or safety experts consulted by the producers of the film prior to making the film.
200. *Mr. McKessock*—Enquiry of the Ministry—(a) Would the Chairman of the Management Board of Cabinet indicate the criteria to be followed by persons making referrals under Section 74 (3) of Ontario Regulation 1013/75, in the light of the issuance by Mr. S. W. Clarkson, Chairman, Civil Service Commission, on April 6th, 1976, of a document entitled “Ministry Guide for dealing with Employees surplus to requirements”. (b) Does not the existence of this document change the “consent for examination” form, designed by the Employee Health Service, into a coercive document. (c) Is it not true that until recently, Section 74 (3) referrals were generally reserved for alcoholics, malingerers or public servants who placed others at peril. (d) Are not the above criteria governed by the idea of “illnesses of choice”, avoidable by personal discretion. (e) Since when has diabetes been an illness of choice. (f) Since the Regulation stops short of ordering a doctor of the Employee Health Service to furnish a report in response to a referral, is not a doctor furnishing such a report in danger of civil action from any employee he reports upon. Would the Government defend him with public funds. (g) Are not all such reports in violation of the physician’s Hippocratic Oath. (h) Is this matter now before the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. (i) Will the Government be making a presentation before the College on behalf of the doctors of the Employee Health Service if called upon to do so. Are they each free to speak. (j) What provision is there in the Grievance Procedure for 1) bargaining unit and 2) management, employees, to grieve on the basis of the ethical problems now posed. (k) Will disciplinary action be taken against any further Government employee refusing to submit to a medical examination on Section 74 (3) referral, pending the resolution of the matter by the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. (l) Will the Minister consider re-gazetting Section 74 of Ontario Regulation 1013/75, after appropriate review of submissions from the public and from professional bodies affected thereby. (m) Will the Minister erase diabetic referrals from employees’ personnel files, pending the outcome of such a review. (n) After answering this question, will the Minister undertake to keep Members of the Legislature informed of subsequent representations and their outcomes. (o) Will the Minister give an undertaking that there will be no reprisals against the public servant who has raised this important ethical issue with the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario, after submitting to a full medical examination under protest.



**BILL REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEE**

**RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

**COMMITTEE NOTICES**

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 re Workmen's Compensation Board.

---

The Select Committee on Highway Transportation of Goods will meet today, at 9.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 16th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization.

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act.

Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act.

---



CA20N

X 4

-0 82



Ontario

No. 103

Government  
Publications

# The Legislative Assembly of the Province of Ontario

3RD SESSION, 30TH PARLIAMENT

THURSDAY, DECEMBER 16TH, 1976

## ORDER PAPER

### ROUTINE PROCEEDINGS

STATEMENTS BY THE MINISTRY

ORAL QUESTIONS

PETITIONS

REPORTS

MOTIONS

INTRODUCTION OF BILLS

### ORDERS OF THE DAY

#### THIRD READING


1. Bill 189, An Act to establish the Unified Family Court. *Mr. McMurray*

### GOVERNMENT BILLS AND ORDERS

2. *Resuming the Adjourned Debate* on the amendment to the amendment to the motion that this House approves in general the Budgetary policy of the Government.
3. *Committee of the Whole House:*

Bill 134, An Act to amend The Gift Tax Act, 1972. *Mr. Meen.*  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 135, An Act to provide for the limited inclusion of Grapes grown outside Ontario in Ontario Wine. *Mr. Handleman.* **PRINTED.**



Bill 171, The Funeral Services Act, 1976. *Mr. Miller* (Muskoka).  
**PRINTED.**

Bill 187, An Act to amend The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-  
Wentworth Act, 1973. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**

4. *Second Reading* Bill 20, An Act to amend The Personal Property Security Act. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
  5. *Second Reading* Bill 44, An Act respecting the Registration of Venture Investment Corporations. *Mr. McKeough*. **PRINTED.**
  6. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for Second Reading of* Bill 59, An Act to amend The Public Health Act. *Mr. Miller*.  
**PRINTED.**
  7. *Second Reading* Bill 109, An Act to amend The Ontario Energy Board Act. *Mr. Timbrell*. **PRINTED.**
  8. *Second Reading* Bill 110, An Act to provide for Warranties in the Sale of Consumer Products. *Mr. Handleman*. **PRINTED.**
  9. *Second Reading* Bill 132, An Act to amend The Coroners Act, 1972. *Mr. MacBeth*. **PRINTED.**
  10. *Second Reading* Bill 176, An Act to amend The Labour Relations Act. *Miss Stephenson*. **PRINTED.**
  11. *Resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for adoption of the* Second Interim Report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.
-



# NOTICE PAPER

## GOVERNMENT NOTICES OF MOTION

3. *Mr. McKeough*—That this House give consideration to “Trends and Options” and other documents related to Ontario’s future, tabled by the Treasurer on April 8, 1976.
10. *Mr. Welch*—Resolution—That the Order for the adoption of the second interim report of the Select Committee on the Fourth and Fifth Reports of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature, be discharged, and the following be adopted:
  1. Two copies of each Ministerial Statement shall be delivered to Party Leaders, or their representatives, at or before the time the statement is made in the House.
  2. The Question Period shall be extended to one hour; Opposition Party Leaders each having two questions plus supplementaries; and further questions be by rotation among all Parties, starting with the Official Opposition.
  3. Parliamentary Assistants shall be able to question Ministers other than their own, and be able to answer for their Ministers when authorized by the Premier.
  4. There shall be a half-hour Adjournment Debate as provided in Standing Order 28 each Tuesday and Thursday at 10.30 p.m., and a Member shall give verbal notice of his intent to raise a matter in the Debate immediately at the end of the Question Period at which the question was asked, and the reasons for the Member’s dissatisfaction shall be filed with the Clerk at the Table by 8.00 p.m. the same day.
  5. The Government shall provide a response to the House within two weeks to all Petitions presented to the House.
  6. Every report of a committee, other than when reporting Bills which have been referred to it, shall be tabled in the House by the committee chairman, accompanied by a brief statement from the chairman only; and any such report which includes a request for consideration by the House shall be entered on the Order Paper as a Government Order.
  7. The Clerk of the House shall maintain a record in his office of those reports required by Statutes which have been tabled and those outstanding. The Government shall present all such reports within six months of the close of the reporting period, unless reasons are given to the House; Annual Reports of the immediate past reporting period for each Ministry, and Boards, Commissions, and other Agencies reporting through each Minister, shall be tabled in the House before consideration of that Ministry’s estimates, unless reasons are given to the House. On the petition of any 20 Members, any such report tabled shall be referred to a committee of the House.

8. After any policy statement or introduction of a Government Bill, the Government shall table a compendium of background information of the type proposed on Page 50 of the Second Report of the Ontario Commission on the Legislature.

9. (a) Any return, report or other paper required to be laid before the House in accordance with any Act of the Legislative Assembly or in pursuance of any resolution or standing order of this House may be deposited with the Clerk of the House on any sitting day, and such return, report or other paper shall be deemed for all purposes to have been presented to or laid before the House. A record of any such paper shall be entered in the Votes and Proceedings of the same day.

(b) The Ministry concerned shall distribute copies of reports to all Members and copies of any background material tabled shall be sent to the Opposition critics.

10. (a) Written Enquiries of the Ministry shall be dated;

(b) The Minister shall indicate to the House within 14 days if the answer will be costly or time-consuming to prepare, or if the Minister declines to answer;

(c) A notation shall be put below the Question indicating that the Minister has made an interim answer;

(d) If a Member repeats any unanswered question in the ensuing Session, the date of the original notice thereof shall be shown.

11. The Government House Leader shall announce the following week's business before the adjournment of the House each Thursday.

12. At First Reading of an Amending Bill, the Minister shall file with the Clerk of the House, and send copies to the Opposition Critics, an up-to-date consolidation of the Act or Acts to be amended by the Bill.

13. A Bill may not pass more than one stage in one day if opposed by 20 Members standing in their place.

14. No order for second reading of a Bill may be called until the Bill has been printed and so marked on the Order Paper.

15. "Order Paper" means the Order Paper distributed that day.

16. The House shall not sit past 10.30 p.m. if 20 Members object to the Government motion by standing in their places.

17. A Bill shall not be called for second reading if the Clerk of the House is notified by noon of the sitting day following the introduction of the Bill of intention to give notice of a reasoned amendment and such notice of a reasoned amendment is filed with the Clerk of the House by noon of the second sitting day after introduction. If the notice of a reasoned amendment is not so filed, the notification of intention shall lapse, and the order for

second reading may be called immediately. If the notice of the reasoned amendment is filed as required the order for second reading shall not be called before the third sitting day after introduction of the Bill.

18. When 20 Members stand in their place, after second reading of a Bill, the Bill shall be referred to a Standing or Select Committee of the House as the Minister having charge of the Bill designates.

19. When a Bill is referred to a Standing or Select Committee after second reading, consideration by the Committee shall not begin until five days after such referral. At the time of referral the Minister having charge of the Bill may request the waiver of this interval but such waiver shall not be granted if 20 Members register their objection thereto by standing in their places.

20. That amendments proposed to be moved to Bills in Committee shall be filed in the Office of the Clerk of the House at least two hours before the sitting at which they will be moved, copies of which shall be distributed to the other Parties by the mover.

21. A full Hansard service shall be provided to committees considering Estimates, and a tape recording without transcription shall be made of all other committee proceedings.

22. Sufficient copies should be printed of any Bill which may be of particular public interest.

23. The Speaker shall reduce the number of strangers under the Press Gallery and behind the Members' benches by prohibiting standees.

24. The motion for Interim Supply requires notice, and such notice shall include a time limit of not more than six months.

25. There shall be a minimum of eight sitting days allocated for the Debate on the motion for an Address in Reply to the Speech from the Throne, which debate shall be completed before the introduction of the Budget.

26. A minimum of eight sitting days shall be allocated to the debate on the Budget Motion, the first five days of which shall be consecutive; the first day for the Treasurer's Budget Statement, the second and third days for the Opposition critics, and the fourth and fifth days for other Private Members.

27. The Estimates of approximately half the Ministries shall be referred to Standing Committees.

28. The main Estimates of all Ministries shall be presented to the House not later than five days following the presentation of the Budget.

29. The order in which Estimates are to be considered shall be chosen in rounds, through the House Leaders, with the Official Opposition first, then the Third Party, then the Government, until all Estimates are allocated; and the Estimates will, as much as is practicable, be grouped in the Committee of Supply or Standing Committees according to policy field.

30. There shall be 420 hours for the consideration of all estimates and following consultation by the House Leaders, the Government House Leader shall announce the allocation of time for each set of estimates.

31. Estimates or Legislation shall not be considered in any standing or select committee while any matter relating to the same policy field is being considered in the House. Not more than two standing or select committees shall meet to consider estimates at the same time and, any Member rising on a point of order before the Orders of the Day, and being supported by 19 other Members standing in their places, shall prevent more than one standing or select committee considering Estimates from meeting concurrently with the House.

32. Ministers should provide advance briefings to their Opposition critics before consideration of their Estimates, in a format to be determined by each Minister.

33. Before the consideration of the Estimates of any Ministry, the Minister shall, where possible, table the latest estimates of actual expenditures in the preceding fiscal year.

34. Management Board Orders shall be printed as an appendix to *Ontario Finances*, with an explanation of significant variances from printed Estimates, and a summary of Special Warrants shall be tabled on the first sitting day following the issue of the warrants.

35. In each Session the Official Opposition shall be entitled to three non-confidence motions, and the Third Party to two. Such motions may be put at any time upon proper notice during the Session, and the debate on each such motion shall be not more than two and one-half hours.

36. Private Bills shall be referred to the appropriate Standing Committee; and the sessional deadline for the submission of Private Bills is removed; the required advertisements shall be completed before referral of the Bills to committee.

37. The following procedures shall govern Private Members' Public Business:

- (a) each Thursday, from the completion of the Routine Proceedings until 6.00 p.m., shall be allocated for Private Members' Public Business;
- (b) the Parties shall take turns, sharing the time, with up to 90 minutes allowed for each item;
- (c) there shall be no limit on the right of Members to introduce Private Members' Public Bills;
- (d) there shall be a ballot in each caucus, conducted by the Clerk of the House, in which each Member may enter his name once, to draw the names of Members who will be able, in the order drawn, to have a Bill or resolution of their choice put to the House for debate and vote;



- (e) the ballots shall be held not later than February 10th, 1977, for the session of 1977, and the results shall be posted;
- (f) if objection to the Bill or Resolution being voted on is received either from one-third of the Members by written petition to Mr. Speaker at least 48 hours in advance of the debate; or from 20 Members standing in their place when the question is about to be put to a vote, then the item will not be voted on. Debate will, however, have been allowed on the item for up to 90 minutes;
- (g) the names of objectors filing the petition against a vote on any item shall be recorded in *Votes and Proceedings* the next sitting day after the deadline for filing a petition of objection;
- (h) the votes on all items not opposed, as above, shall be stacked for 6.00 p.m., following a non-whipped five-minute division bell;
- (i) Private Members' Public Bills which receive second reading shall be carried on the Order Paper daily and will be called by the Government House Leader in the same manner as Government Orders;
- (j) on any Thursday there shall be not more than two items scheduled unless otherwise agreed by the House Leaders after notice; and at least two weeks' notice of any item for any Thursday shall be provided;
- (k) there shall be no adjournment of the debate on any item of Private Members' Public Business from one Thursday to another;
- (l) there shall be no limit to the number of Resolutions of which a Member may give notice.

38. In the Committee of the Whole House there shall be a 10-minute division bell for all stacked votes at the end of the sitting. In the House there shall be a maximum 30-minute bell if a vote is pre-arranged by all Parties at any time; and a no-limit bell for divisions under any other circumstances.

39. All recommendations under the heading "committees" at pages 26 through 29 in the Second Interim Report of the Select Committee are hereby referred for discussion and recommendation, to an ad hoc committee composed of the House Leaders, the Whips, and a further representative from each caucus.

40. The proposal for four large policy-field standing committees, and four other smaller committees, is endorsed.

41. The Select Committee's recommendation concerning an expanded Legislature in light of the workload for Members is noted.

42. Undertakings by Ministries shall be considered in adjournment debates, after notice, similar to other adjournment debates.

43. There shall be a maximum 20-minute wait for a vote in Standing or Select Committees.

44. The Select Committee recommendations concerning the size and skill of the staff of the Clerk of the House, and the Speaker's Panel of Chairmen, is referred to the ad hoc committee of House Leaders, Whips et al.

45. There should be wider posting each Friday of the next week's committee meetings, throughout the Legislative Building, and such notices be given to the Press.

46. There should be a messenger or page for each committee.

47. It is noted that the Government does not support, at this time, the recommendations of the Select Committee concerning research assistants for all Members.

48. It is noted that the Government will make known its position on the proposal that Mr. Speaker have jurisdiction over the full Legislative Building, following presentation of the final report of the Select Committee.

Where there is a conflict between any of these procedures and any Standing Order of the House, these procedures shall take precedence during the Fourth Session of the Thirtieth Parliament.

#### NOTICES OF MOTION FOR PRODUCTION OF PAPERS

1. *Mr. Singer*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of the Minutes of all Ontario Housing Corporation Board of Director's Meetings from January 1st, 1973 to June 30th, 1976, inclusive.
2. *Mrs. Campbell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the Ministry of Health audit of Browndale, (Ontario), and all pertinent material gathered in the course of that audit.
3. *Mr. Eakins*—That an Order of the House do issue for a list of all properties leased, rented, or purchased by Browndale, (Ontario), for use in their treatment program subsidized by the Ontario Government, the value of such property, the present owner, the previous owner, and the rent and terms of rental for each property where applicable.
4. *Mr. Haggerty*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of studies made for the Ministry of Treasury and Intergovernmental Affairs on the Pickle Lake Development.
5. *Mr. Reid (Rainy River)*—That an Order of the House do issue for copies of all documents dealing with Environment studies, native peoples rights, economic implications and all inter-departmental and inter-Ministerial communication related to the above as it applies to the proposed Reed paper project in North-Western Ontario.
6. *Mr. Riddell*—That an Order of the House do issue for the production of all pertinent correspondence dealing with the assembly of the Severn Park development, particularly that between the Minister of Natural Resources, the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton), Mr. Donald Southcott, and any other principals involved, as well as any background reports, briefs, or other documents affecting the placement of the Park.
7. *Mr. Stong*—That an Order of the House do issue for production of all figures relating to the provincial assessment for 1976, and the projected provincial assessment as based on the proposed Reform of Property Taxes.

8. *Mr. Smith* (Hamilton West)—That an Order of the House do issue for the Pickering Safety Report, The Douglas Point Report of 1970, a complete list and detailed reports of all “significant” or “abnormal” events or accidents involving nuclear safety accidents in Hydro facilities since 1970 as well as the results of radiation monitoring testing done at Hydro Installations since 1970, showing excess levels of contamination.
9. *Mr. Newman* (Windsor Walkerville)—That an Order of the House do issue for a complete list of all firms having Ministers Orders issued against them by the Ministry of the Environment for excessive air pollution.
10. *Mr. Reed* (Halton Burlington)—That an humble Address be presented to Her Honour praying that she will cause to be laid before this House all background documents and correspondence exchanged between the Atomic Energy Control Board and Ontario Hydro regarding the Bruce Reactor Safety Report.

---

### QUESTIONS

35. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism, 1. please provide a complete breakdown of cost expenditures to date for Minaki Lodge, 2. the total number of man hours to date for the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 3. the home community and number of man hours worked to date by each individual on the Minaki site (whether the employee worked directly for a contractor, the Minaki board or the Province of Ontario), 4. the names of all contractors, sub-contractors, consultants, and suppliers who have been associated for a fee with the restoration of Minaki Lodge, 5. the total amounts paid to each contractor, sub-contractor, consultant or supplier as listed in the answer to item (4) in this question, 6. the number, type and cost of all work that had to be re-done due to faulty workmanship, poor materials or inappropriate materials being used, 7. the amount of revenue that has been generated by Minaki Lodge since the Government of Ontario assumed ownership, 8. the amount of revenue for each year for the ten years prior to the acquisition of Minaki Lodge by the Province of Ontario, 9. the anticipated service area of the lodge in terms of potential guests, 10. the present operating cost of Minaki Lodge, 11. the anticipated operating cost for Minaki Lodge should it ever be completed and operated by the Province of Ontario, 12. the present assessed market value of Minaki Lodge, 13. when and if completed under what terms will it be operated by the Province of Ontario, or some other outside party, 14. the number of native Canadians employed in the restoration and maintenance of Minaki Lodge and the total number of man hours worked, and 15. how much long term employment will be created for the native Canadians.
38. *Ms. Bryden*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many (a) executive and/or administrative assistants; (b) public relations personnel; (c) secretaries and clerical staff were attached to the Minister's Office of each Ministry on March 31, 1975, and March 31, 1976.



41. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism advise what percentage of equipment and materials utilized in the reconstruction and development of the Minaki Lodge complex originated in (a) Ontario, (b) other Canadian Provinces, (c) the United States of America and, (d) other foreign countries.
43. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism provide a list of all equipment ordered for but not received by Minaki Lodge, and could the Minister advise what penalties were paid for equipment which Minaki Lodge ordered but refused to accept delivery on.
54. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the government's policy in purchasing automobiles for the use of Ministers and senior civil servants. Was this policy changed in order to compel purchases of smaller vehicles that are more energy-efficient and if so, when. For the fiscal years 1974 and 1975 respectively, how many automobiles were bought for the use of members of the Cabinet or of senior executives in the civil service; in each case, what was the make, model, and cost, and for whose use was the automobile bought; what proportion of the cars purchased was full-size, what proportion intermediate, and what proportion compact.
60. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many ministers hire speech-writers from outside the ministry. Who are these speech writers. When have they been used. How much have they been paid per speech. How much has the total cost been.
67. *Mr. McClellan*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. (a) Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in (i) Ottawa, (ii) Toronto, (iii) Hamilton, (iv) London, (v) Windsor, (vi) Timmins, (vii) Sudbury, and (viii) Thunder Bay; (b) What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 2. Who are the principal owners of the firms. 3. (a) What is the complement of Ontario Government cleaning and maintenance staff with permanent civil service status for each of the years: 1972-73, 1973-74, 1974-75; (b) What were the salary scales paid for each of those years.
79. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism please list all those facilities that existed at Minaki Lodge prior to the takeover by the Government of Ontario and, would the Minister provide details of those facilities and information relating to the state of those facilities the day that the Government took over.
88. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Culture and Recreation please list the names of full-time and contract staff connected with the Ministry following its creation, their job titles, geographic area served and responsibilities. Would the Minister also indicate those staff persons who are still in the employ of the Ministry and their present job title, function and area served.



96. *Mr. Lupusella*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Since January 1, 1972, what contracts were let and to which firms by the Ministry of Government Services for maintenance and cleaning services in the Province of Ontario. 2. What was the value and duration of each and the wage rates paid under the contracts. 3. Who are the principal owners of each of the firms. 4. In which buildings in which places are cleaning and maintenance personnel directly hired by the Government. For each building, where cleaning and maintenance personnel are directly hired by the Ontario Government, what is the (a) total personnel cost. (b) cost of cleaning and maintenance materials (supplies). (c) hourly wage rate for each category of cleaner or maintenance person. With reference to (b): Are these directly purchased by Government, or contracted purchase. If contract, from where. 5. Which of the firms listed in number one above have received or are currently receiving some form of government grant; how much is each grant; and for what purpose was it granted. 6. What has been the total cost of advertising by the Ministry of Government Services of tender calls for the purpose of letting all cleaning service and maintenance contracts through the Province of Ontario in the fiscal years: (a) 1969-1970. (b) 1970-1971. (c) 1971-1972. (d) 1972-1973. (e) 1973-1974. (f) 1974-1975. (g) 1975-1976.
97. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Ministry table the research that led to its determination of the maximum allowable rent increase for the year 1976-1977.
109. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For each month from January, 1974 to the present, what was the total amount spent by the provincial government and its agencies to purchase advertising time or space and for each month how much was spent for advertising in (a) daily newspapers (b) weekly newspapers (c) other periodicals (d) radio (e) television.
115. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—1. Will the Attorney-General indicate when he will make known to the provincial parliament and to the people of Temagami and area, the specific course of action that the provincial government will follow to resolve the caution that has been placed by the Temagami, Bear Island Indian Band on all unpatented land in the Temagami area. 2. Has the Ministry of Natural Resources completed the detailed analysis of the caution and its associated problems which you referred to on April 22, 1976. If it has not, what is the completion date being aimed for by the provincial government. 3. Will negotiations with the Indian people, be undertaken by the Ministry of Natural Resources. If so, when will these negotiations start. Or will the Attorney-General attempt, through the courts, to resolve the matter. If this is to be the case when will the Minister make this commitment to resolve the caution through the courts. When will we get answers.
125. *Mr. Laughren*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Which municipalities have received approval from the Ministry of the Environment for sewer and water projects for 1975-76. What is the dollar value of each project. Which municipalities receiving approval from the Ministry of the

Environment for sewer and water projects have not been subjected to the "Management by Results" needs tests. What is the dollar value of each project.

127. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*

128. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*

129. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*

130. *Answered December 10th, 1976.*

140. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*

143. *Mr. Breithaupt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry supply a list of all Authorities, Boards, Commissions, Tribunals, advisory groups and Crown Corporations in Ontario. Would the Ministry advise the name and address of each directing member of each of such bodies; and the ministry to which each is responsible.

144. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*

145. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*

146. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*

152. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Industry and Tourism table all construction drawings, documents, contracts relating to the renovation and development of Minaki Lodge, and copies of all construction inspection reports; in addition, would the Minister table all quality analysis reports for the past five years.

154. *Answered December 6th, 1976.*

155. *Mr. Philip*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Transportation and Communications inform the House: 1. Apart from the somewhat vague term "to provide system continuity" what criteria were used to determine the necessity for building the 406 extension. In particular, the following criteria: (a) What is the expected number of vehicles. Is this number sufficient to warrant a 4-lane highway. (b) What percentage relief will the 406 provide to the traffic on other streets in St. Catharines and Vineland. (c) To what extent will the cost of the extension be offset by reduction in street widening costs of parallel routes. (d) The main traffic problem in St. Catharines has been identified to be in north-south direction. What relief, if any, will the 406 bring. (e) Will the 406 extension provide improved connections to the city core or, considering the limited number of exits, will it rather act as a bypass for quick access to the shopping centre south of the core. 2. Are there engineering standards set to indicate at what minimum traffic volume a 4-lane

highway becomes economically feasible. When were they set. How were they applied to the original decision. Do they still apply. Is there any truth to the statement: If the cost of the extension were to be covered by raising tolls, a toll rate of \$2.00 per single trip would be the minimum. If true, is this not an excessive subsidy from the taxpayer to a small number of beneficiaries. 3. The 406 was originally conceived in the early 1960's. Conditions have changed considerably since that time. Have these changed conditions been weighed against the criteria used to determine the economical feasibility for building a new road. (refer to question 2 for standard criteria). In particular, the following changes: (a) The expected population growth rate in the Niagara Region is reduced considerably, to about  $\frac{2}{3}$  the original growth rate. (b) The 406 has not been completed to four lanes to Welland and has not been extended past Welland. Why then a 4-lane extension north. (c) Air pollution and noise have prompted many cities to keep high-speed roads away from the city centre. Has an environmental study by an independent group been conducted or planned. (d) World-wide fuel shortage may curtail automobile and truck traffic in the future. Was the possibility considered, that completion of the extension in 1985 could coincide with a considerable reduction in traffic. (e) Strong concerns have been expressed lately to preserve good agricultural land. How can you explain the compatibility of the decision to build the highway through fruitland with the stated policy of your Government to preserve fruitland. After applying the above-mentioned changes to the original standards (if existing) is the extension still an economically viable proposition. 4. Various alternative routes were considered and rejected in favour of the valley route. Has the Minister considered the use of the Canal Haulage Road, which runs east of the Welland Canal from the Thorold Tunnel to the Q.E.W.

156. *Mr. Angus*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would each Minister indicate the exact method that they use to monitor and control expenditures against budget allocations, and to monitor uncommitted funds.

157. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*

158. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*

159. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources provide the House with the following information concerning logging operations on crown land for the years 1973, 1974 and 1975. (1) the average regeneration cost per acre of timber cut by each logging company operating on crown land. (2) the "stumpage" fees collected by the crown from each of the aforementioned companies. (3) the percentage of regeneration costs paid for by stumpage fees for each logging company operating on crown land. (4) the total acreage of crown land cut in the province of Ontario, whether selectively or otherwise. (5) the total acreage of crown land regenerated by other than "natural" regeneration (i.e. with some human intervention).

160. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources inform the House as to the exact benefits received by the

Province from each logging company operating on crown land in terms of financial returns, stumpage fees, employment, etc. . . .

161. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to the following: (1) the level of radiation (in RADS) which Ontario Hydro sees as being “acceptable”. (2) the level of radiation which Ontario Hydro sees as being “safe”. (3) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output currently being generated by nuclear power stations. (4) the percentage of total Hydro electrical output (including exports to the U.S.) to be filled by nuclear power stations by 1980, 1990 and the year 2000. (5) the nature of the test(s) conducted by Hydro to determine the reliability of the “fail-safe” system in the CANDU reactors and in particular whether or not an actual test has been conducted with an operative reactor. (6) a listing of each of the reactors currently operating, their current output, their projected output, the amount of radioactive wastes created in each and the disposal methods used by each.
162. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy inform the House as to whether or not there has ever been a near-miss accident in one of the operating Hydro nuclear power plants. If so, could he itemize and describe the accidents, steps taken to correct the problem and any changes in Hydro safety policy resulting from the near-misses.
163. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy provide the House with figures relating to the total amount of money spent by Ontario Hydro from 1970 to 1975 (by year) to promote or discuss the nuclear commitment made by Ontario Hydro. Also, in view of the Final Report from the Select Committee on Ontario Hydro and its recommendations, has the Minister had any discussions with Ontario Hydro concerning the re-assessment of Hydro’s nuclear commitment. If so, what are the results.
164. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour list the dust counts or any other evidence upon which the Workmen’s Compensation Board bases its arguments that the Sintering Plant in Sudbury is more hazardous than the Sintering Plant in Port Colborne and qualifies prior to 1952 for an exposure rate criteria of six months in establishing nasal cancer claims, while one year is needed in Port Colborne.
165. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
166. *Answered December 3rd, 1976.*
167. *Mr. Ziemba*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Revenue indicate how many Ontario Tax Credits have been paid out to persons using fraudulent social insurance cards. How many have been paid in error. How many are under investigation. What pre-audit and post-audit steps are being taken to prevent recurrences.
168. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What precautions is the Ministry of Health taking against the disease of Hemorrhagic Fever (Marburg



Virus) being transported to this Province. Are there laboratory facilities available for the isolation and identification of the agent for this disease in the Province. Is there a formal plan for isolation of all contacts of suspected cases.

169. *Mr. Reid* (Rainy River)—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of the Environment provide a list of the pulp and paper mills in Ontario which are under Ministerial Order to improve their pollution abatement programs in regard to air, water and land. Secondly, would the Minister provide the details of the amounts of money involved, and the required date of completion, and would he provide a list of any exceptions to the Ministerial Order and reasons therefore.
170. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister provide the following information about the Rent Review Program which the Ministry has collected from each hearing, on the hearing report form: 1. Total number of hearings held. 2. Total number of units involved in the above hearings. 3. Total number of individual buildings (accounting for the fact that some buildings have been reviewed more than once). 4. Total number of units contained in the buildings in 3 above irrespective of whether all units were reviewed. 5. Average recorded basic monthly rent in dollar terms for: January 1974; July 1975(76); proposed; awarded. 6. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent for: January 1974 to July 1975(76); July 1975(76) to proposed; July 1975(76) to awarded. 7. Average percent increase in basic monthly rent directly attributable to the following: Increased operating costs (item 7); Capital expenditures (item 8); Finance payments (item 9); Financial loss. The answers to the above seven questions should be broken down into the following categories: the whole of Ontario and by sub-region (Metro, North-West Ont., etc.); by building type (SF, DU, TRI). Questions 6 and 7 should also be broken down by size of percentage increase (e.g. how many received 2% increase and how many 80%).
171. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
172. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What investigation is the Ministry of Health undertaking to examine the relationship between cancer mortality rates and carcinogens in water supplies.
173. *Answered Orally November 30th, 1976.*
174. *Mr. Mackenzie*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What were the number of charges laid for: (a) speeding; (b) careless driving; (c) driving while impaired, for the months of April, May, June, July, August, September and October of 1976, on the Queen Elizabeth Highway between Hamilton and Toronto.
175. *Mr. Moffatt*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Has the Ministry of Transportation and Communication experimented with the extensive use of closely planted rows of evergreen trees as noise barriers. If not, why not. What is the difference in cost between the present noise barriers and a maintained living tree noise barrier. What would be the effect in terms of cost of planting living evergreen trees as snow fence barriers along rural highways.

176. *Answered December 7th, 1976.*
177. *Mr. Cunningham*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What is the total amount of money paid to Camp and Associates Advertising Agency for the past five years.
178. *Answered December 13th, 1976.*
179. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Will the Minister of Colleges and Universities endorse the principle of Paid Educational Leave defined as leave granted for educational purposes, such as career development, during working hours without loss of earnings and benefits, and make Paid Educational Leave available to the non-teaching staff of Colleges and Universities. Further, will the Minister ensure that Paid Educational Leave will be funded and implemented by a combination of collective agreement, legislation, and regulation. Will the Minister guarantee that Paid Educational Leave shall in no way affect the normal rights and benefits derived from an employment relationship.
180. *Mr. Warner*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What initiative will be given by the Minister of Colleges and Universities to ensure that Centres for Labour Studies will be established in each Community College which serves an industrial area. Will the Minister direct the Colleges, through the Council of Regents, to approach local labour organizations for the determination, development, and presentation of the appropriate courses of study. Further, would such courses be fully accredited, leading to a diploma in Labour Studies.
181. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Ontario Hydro Building on University Avenue remains in use. Is the building to be rented. If so, to whom, and at what rates. What is the cost of maintaining it.
182. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the old Mount Sinai Hospital has been put to alternative use. What is the timetable to bring it into full alternative use. What is the cost of maintaining it.
183. *Mr. Nixon*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What proportion of the Ontario Government office buildings at 434 and 454 University Avenue is in use. What plans exist for the use or sale of the property. What is the cost of maintaining them.
184. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour consider directing the Workmen's Compensation Board to make regulations under sections 68(1) and 78 of The Workmen's Compensation Act to allow a claimant or his/her designated agent complete access to the information in his/her file for the purposes of the claim.
185. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour inform the House as to the following: section 42(7) of the Workmen's Compensation Act provides that a 100% award for permanent dis-

ability may be transferred into a pension on the death of the recipient as if the death "had resulted" from the compensable disability. What criteria does the Board use to determine, for this and other sections of the Act, if death "had resulted from the injury"—i.e. is it on a balance of probabilities, beyond a reasonable doubt, in the absence of any other medical explanation, etc.

186. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Further to the Minister of Labours Answer to Question No. 151 that "claims are not disqualified on grounds that an industrial disease is not disabling" would the Minister inform the House of the purpose of section 118(1) of The Workmen's Compensation Act which says in part "Where an employee suffers from an industrial disease and is thereby disabled . . . the employee . . . entitled to compensation".
187. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to review their files from July 1, 1974 to the present date in order to find the following information: the average percentage decrease/increase in the amount granted to the spouse of a recipient of Workmen's Compensation when a disability allowance is transferred to become a pension.
188. *Mr. Bain*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Labour direct the Workmen's Compensation Board to compile and provide to the House periodically the following information: (i) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient of an award was denied a pension on the death of the recipient under s. 42(7) of The Workmen's Compensation Act; (ii) the number of cases wherein a recipient was receiving a 100% disability allowance and on his/her death the spouse was granted a pension; (iii) the number of cases wherein the spouse of a recipient was granted a pension on his/her death due to the fact that the compensable injury was deemed to be the "cause" of death.
189. *Mr. Godfrey*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Can the Minister of Health assure the House that there will be sufficient intern posts for all graduates of our medical schools in the coming years.
190. *Mr. Mancini*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister Without Portfolio (Lambton) furnish a detailed copy of his itinerary from November 1, 1975, listing all meetings attended by him for the purpose of conducting Government business, and the names and addresses, where possible, of those in attendance.
191. *Mr. Riddell*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources chronicle the involvement of the Minister without Portfolio (Lambton) in the assembly of the land for the Severn Park, listing in particular the dates of meetings he attended and the names and addresses of those in attendance.
192. *Mr. Foulds*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Natural Resources table copies of the letters he sent to pulp and paper companies in Ontario asking them to make submissions for an



integrated forest complex in the Red Lake/Ear Falls area. Would the Minister also table all the replies received from the said companies.

193. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—What research activities are being undertaken by the Youth Secretariat to assist in the planning and development of provincial employment programmes for young people. What are the major findings to date of this research. How much is this research costing, and to whom are its findings directed. Will the Ministry table the research reports on: (a) analysis of applicants to the Ontario Experience programme; (b) the telephone survey of young people conducted by the Youth Secretariat; (c) the mailed questionnaire to a random sample of employers; (d) qualitative field interviews, all undertaken as part of the research activities concerned with provincial employment programmes for young people. Will the Ministry explain why Ontario conducts no research attempting to forecast job opportunities for young people over the coming years.
194. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How many editions a year are there of Environment Ontario Legacy; how many copies are printed; to whom is the newspaper distributed; what is the cost of producing the newspaper; what are the editorial and staff costs of producing each issue; what are the distribution costs of each issue.
195. *Mr. Cassidy*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Ministry provide:  
1. a list of Ontario Housing Action Programme agreements that have been entered into and the amounts of money involved. 2. copies of the agreements signed by both the municipalities and the developers. 3. a summary of the contents of the agreements to show in each case the land that is subject to the agreement, the owner of the land, the commitments entered into by the developer, the municipality, the amount of money to be paid to the developer in relation to each commitment, the time that each payment is to be made, the number and types of housing units anticipated where agreed to be built under each such agreement, the anticipated prices for the housing units to be built and the income groups for whom the housing is intended.
196. *Ms. Gigantes*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Energy table in the Legislature the daily operating reports of Ontario Hydro for the last two weeks, the daily generation of power at each station and diesel generator in the system, the daily export sales and outside purchases by Hydro and the record of cuts in interruptible contracts for each day.
197. *Mr. Burr*—Enquiry of the Ministry—For the two provincial park campgrounds run by private operators in 1976—what were the expense and revenue statistics for the years 1971 to 1976.
198. *Mr. Breaugh*—Enquiry of the Ministry—How does the Treasurer intend to handle those employees who were covered by the OMERS Supplementary Type 1 Plan where those employees under this plan received benefits equal to the amendments proposed to the OMERS benefits and where the contributions were paid for entirely by the employer.



199. *Mr. Worton*—Enquiry of the Ministry—Would the Minister of Transportation and Communications provide the House with the following information regarding a film entitled *Sam the Safety Duck*—“*Bicycle Safety*” produced for the Ministry: 1. the total cost of producing the film, including the cost for prints. 2. the producer of the film. Was the film made by an agency of the Government, or was it contracted to a film company. 3. the budget from which the money for producing the film was taken. 4. the names of any other films now in production or in the planning stage. 5. the names of any educational or safety experts consulted by the producers of the film prior to making the film.
200. *Mr. McKessock*—Enquiry of the Ministry—(a) Would the Chairman of the Management Board of Cabinet indicate the criteria to be followed by persons making referrals under Section 74 (3) of Ontario Regulation 1013/75, in the light of the issuance by Mr. S. W. Clarkson, Chairman, Civil Service Commission, on April 6th, 1976, of a document entitled “Ministry Guide for dealing with Employees surplus to requirements”. (b) Does not the existence of this document change the “consent for examination” form, designed by the Employee Health Service, into a coercive document. (c) Is it not true that until recently, Section 74 (3) referrals were generally reserved for alcoholics, malingerers or public servants who placed others at peril. (d) Are not the above criteria governed by the idea of “illnesses of choice”, avoidable by personal discretion. (e) Since when has diabetes been an illness of choice. (f) Since the Regulation stops short of ordering a doctor of the Employee Health Service to furnish a report in response to a referral, is not a doctor furnishing such a report in danger of civil action from any employee he reports upon. Would the Government defend him with public funds. (g) Are not all such reports in violation of the physician’s Hippocratic Oath. (h) Is this matter now before the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. (i) Will the Government be making a presentation before the College on behalf of the doctors of the Employee Health Service if called upon to do so. Are they each free to speak. (j) What provision is there in the Grievance Procedure for 1) bargaining unit and 2) management, employees, to grieve on the basis of the ethical problems now posed. (k) Will disciplinary action be taken against any further Government employee refusing to submit to a medical examination on Section 74 (3) referral, pending the resolution of the matter by the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario. (l) Will the Minister consider re-gazetting Section 74 of Ontario Regulation 1013/75, after appropriate review of submissions from the public and from professional bodies affected thereby. (m) Will the Minister erase diabetic referrals from employees’ personnel files, pending the outcome of such a review. (n) After answering this question, will the Minister undertake to keep Members of the Legislature informed of subsequent representations and their outcomes. (o) Will the Minister give an undertaking that there will be no reprisals against the public servant who has raised this important ethical issue with the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario, after submitting to a full medical examination under protest.

**BILL REFERRED TO STANDING COMMITTEE**  
**RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE**

Bill 4, An Act to amend The Public Commercial Vehicles Act. *Mr. Snow.*

---

**COMMITTEE NOTICES**

The Standing Resources Development Committee will meet today, at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 2 re Workmen's Compensation Board.

---

The Standing Public Accounts Committee will meet on Thursday, December 16th at 10.00 a.m. in Committee Room No. 1.

---

**BILLS AWAITING ROYAL ASSENT**

Bill 131, An Act respecting Farm Income Stabilization.

Bill 139, An Act respecting Employees' Health and Safety.

Bill 168, An Act to amend The Corporations Tax Act, 1972.

Bill 169, An Act to amend The Income Tax Act.

Bill 170, An Act to amend The Retail Sales Tax Act.

Bill 190, An Act to amend The Judicature Act.

---













3 1761 11466425 3